

CI 1713 J Reese

First name: Jake

Last name: Reese

Q1:

Q2:

Q3:

Yes. For example, the Internet as a whole will be impossible task to classify, for example. You could classify certain websites/pages, but how would you be able to show the classification anyhow. And filtering Internet have to be out of the question anyhow. Australia shouldn't follow China's example. There won't be a government overtake in Australia based on opinions on Internet, which is China's main concern. It is slippery slope, because different interest groups will want to prevent people to access information, which they find unsuitable (pick any church). A voluntary filtering software for concerned parents could be possible with a list of classified website/pages.

Q4:

There is no yes and no answer. Complaints by themselves should not be a reason for classification. There will always be people complaining about everything.

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

Q8:

Q9:

Q10:

Yes. At home you should be able to do, listen or watch (almost) whatever you want.

Q11:

Q12:

The should be no controlling of online control except arresting people who publish child porn.

Q13:

Voluntarily installed filters at home by their parents. The responsibility should be put to the parents.

Q14:

No need for improvement.

Q15:

Already done at the beginning of TV shows.

Q16:

Users can regulate they own habits of consuming content and parents of their children. Maybe parents should be educated how to raise their children. I see little need for government interference.

Q17:

Q18:

Movies, games, TV shows can be easily be classified and it is fine, but there should be R18+ rating for games and not just banning them.

Q19:

Of course, small independent films shouldn't have extra burden on them.

Q20:

Q21:

R18+ rating is needed for computer/console games.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

None

Q25:

No

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: