

CI 1704 A Slattery

First name: Anthony

Last name: Slattery

Q1:

Yes i believe that the current clasification framework is woefully inadequate for clasifying media developed since the creation of the current frame work

Q2:

to inform pontential customers of content that may offend or be inappropriate for the age of the viewer of the content, while maintaining avalability of the content for those that wish to view it.

Q3:

yes, an internet web page could change fundamntaly in days from a review state making clasifying it pointless

Q4:

No a clasification should be required for all media as it informs all parties of what was included in the media, with this however self-clasification by a set code would be advantageous with the review board stepping in only to resolve issues where a complaint is made

Q5:

as long as it is consistent across all media, for instance making assumptions that video games carry a higher impact than film should not be allowed

Q6:

no as long as self clasification based on a preset clasification code, if this is not implemented clasification should not occur for products such as applications on smart phones as the hurddles to clasification would prevent the media from being released in australia

Q7:

yes, but only to advise consumers and only when the rating is clear and is not based on one persons subjective opinion on the piece

Q8:

yes

Q9:

no

Q10:

no

Q11:

weather the media is static and not likley to change making the rating pointless, and wether clasifying the content would make releasing the media financialy unviable

Q12:

There is no effective means to controll access to online content, it changes to rapidly to ever be subjected to classification.

Q13:

Education and supervision

Q14:

consistent ratings in line with all other forms of media and penalties for providing content to those it is not rated for

Q15:

always

Q16:

there should be self classification of all items, government agencies should step in to apply harsh penalties in the event that a complaint about a rating is found to be valid

Q17:

yes, as the classification board is already taking the publishers word and not experiencing all media themselves it makes sense that they classify and the government regulates with harsh penalties for non-compliance based on complaints and random samples

Q18:

all content based on a code arranged by the government

Q19:

any item where the consensus is that the item must be classified but the act of doing so is not financially viable for the media

Q20:

confusion occurs due to the ratings not being consistent across media types, making all ratings consistent regardless of the media type would remove confusion

Q21:

no

Q22:

Q23:

yes having these separate is inconsistent and leads to confusion

Q24:

all illegal content, having said this effective regulation of the internet is impossible

Q25:

Q26:

classification laws should be federal to remove confusion

Q27:

an industry classified system where the classification board becomes more of an enforcer than an adjudicator

Q28:

yes

Q29:

Other comments: