CI 1690 C Schuhen

First name: Corey
Last name: Schuhen

Q1:
Improving key elements.
Q2:
We should move away from any restrictions that exclude the right for adults to obtain material. Classification should be used to restrict access to minors and as an advise-only for adults.
Q3:
In some cases, because it is the most feasible way to implement it. For example, we should not disallow access for adults to any material. Hence for online material, this is hard to regulate for minors. This means that any sort of firewall system should have the possibility for adults who own's an ISP account to disable it.
Q4:
Theoretically.. no but in practice... yes. The sheer size of the internet would mean that there can not be an expectation for everything there to be classified.
Q5:
Yes, where practical.
Q6:
Yes, if there are costs/waits associated with classification. Small distributions should be able to mark with a classification like:
"Unclassified, not recommended for minors"
Q7:
Yes but only to restrict access to minors and provide advice so adults.
Q8:
Yes.
Q9:
Yes, if there are costs/waits associated with classification. Small distributions should be able to mark with a classification like:
"Unclassified, not recommended for minors"
Q10:
It should still have a classification but just because it has a classification, it should not be restricted for adult access. Public access can be divided up, for example some content should not be accessed in the street but might be okay at a venue that only accepts 18+ entries.
Q11:
Q12:
I don't believe that the government should "control" access to online content. The government can assist with providing access to optional tools that allow parents to control access to minors (or themselves if they feel they need protection).
Q13:

The government can implement optional filtering mechanisms, it is then the responsibility of parents to ensure that these are enabled for computers/accounts that minors have access to. It is acceptable for such filtering to be "enabled by default" so that technically challenged parents don't take the easy way out. This is inline with other policies, for example, alcohol is not required to have a child protection device fitted, it is up to parents to take some responsibility for their own children!!!

Q14:

I think that the current controls in place are sufficient. Perhaps items with a VERY high rating(i.e. not including most nude magazines) should not be "on the shelves".

Q15:

As a must, anything not suitable for minors.

Q16:

To assist the public in making the decision on whether to access (or allow access for minors) a particular piece of content.

Q17:

For some industries, yes. As long as such "industry decisions" do not forbid access.

Q18:

no

Q19:

I'd rather see an "unclassified, not suitable for minors" Or perhaps a "Self classified" tag. That way adults can decide if they want to take the risk of them or their minors accessing the content.

Q20:

I think, fairly well understood.

Q21:

Yes, if that is what is required to allow access to things that are currently forbidden, even for adults.

Q22:

Well... by using generalised terms that apply to all such that the policy for each is consistent.

Q23:

Q24:

No content should be prohibited from Adults.

Q25:

The RC category should be empty. i.e. Nothing should be prohibited for adults, it should just contain warnings.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

No, because there is too much risk that the commonwealth will abuse it's power and place restrictions on what adults can access.

Q29:

Other comments: