

CI 1646 M Coles

First name: Matthew

Last name: Coles

Q1:

In general the existing framework is quite good, key elements need to be improved, especially around gaming classification.

Q2:

To ensure people have access to content, relating to their age/maturity. Ensure there is no censorship going on.

Q3:

No it should not, there is no good reason to have different classifications, across different forms of media

Q4:

That isn't a bad idea.

Q5:

This seems to be two different questions... which one would you like me to answer?

Q6:

Not it shouldn't matter

Q7:

Yes, classification should be there as a guide, as long as it is not used as a form of censorship.

Q8:

The system already in place for music classification seems to be working. ie. warning of explicit lyrics.

Q9:

Very similar to Q6, shouldn't matter.

Q10:

I would say yes, as in content at home should not have restrictions, as it is up to the individual what (legal) content they consume.

Q11:

Q12:

Censoring or restricting access to the internet is pointless. Because the majority of content (aside from business purposes) is accessed from within the home, privately. We don't want Australia becoming the next China, or Libya, or Egypt.

Q13:

That is a matter of parenting, and government should not be involved.

Q14:

It is already quite well controlled.

Q15:

At point of sale, on packaging, as already occurs.

Q16:

To ensure the appropriate labelling and warning are adhered to, it should be then up to the individual to decide what content they access.

Q17:

What a novel idea.

Q18:

you mean, like, childrens entertainment for example?

Q19:

Maybe you could base it on the projected size of the audience, of the content to be classified..?

Q20:

No they are quite simple to understand, and straight forward.

Q21:

There definitely needs to be an 18+ category introduced for gaming classification.

Q22:

Easy, have all formats under the same classification scheme.

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

None, but if an individual is proven to have been accessing illegal content (Kiddy porn etc), they should be charged.

Q25:

Government should not be involved in censoring the internet. It is up to the individual.

Q26:

Common sense should prevail, classification should be nationwide.

Q27:

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

A common sense approach should be embraced

Other comments: