First name: David
Last name: McTaggart

Q1:
The ALRC focus on developing a new framework for classification
Q2:
Less censorship and the removal of RC classification
Q3:
No.
Q4:
Yes
Q5:
Q6:
No.
Q7:
No. Censorship should not be applied to art.
Q8:
No.
Q9:
No. If content is to be classified at all, it should be based on it's merits, not on it's potential audience.
Q10:
Yes. Content consumed in a private home should have no restrictions placed on it at all.
Q11:
Any form of paid lobbying by special interest groups should be illegal
Q12:
By having a requirement that the online service provided has a requirement to validate the age of the consumer.
There should be no prohibition.
Q13:
By giving parents their rights back and allowing them to disciple their children as they see fit without fear of prosecution.
Q14:
By having a requirement that the retailer has a requirement to validate the age of the consumer.
There should be no prohibition.
Q15:
When on public display where it could be seen by minors
Q16:
The government's role should be as minimal as possible. Industry bodies should work together to break down censorship and users should be able to appeal and have removed/reversed any ill-conceived censorship removed.

Q17:

Yes.

Q18:

Most of it should be self classified by industry

Q19:

Yes.

Q20:

RC is a bad policy.

Q21:

RC should be removed and replaced with XXX

Q22:

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

None.

Q25:

No. The RC catorgary is bad policy and should be removed. It should be replaced with RC as in 'Restricted Content'

Q26:

No. States have a right to make their own laws.

Q27:

One that is far more liberal

Q28:

Q29:

By removing the outdated, prudish regulations currently in place

Other comments: