

CI 1620 A Michaelson

First name: Alexander

Last name: Michaelson

Q1:

The ALRC should focus on a new framework, rather than the older deprecated system.

Q2:

To add R18+ ratings to videogaming, improve the current rating system's transparency, and to properly reflect the attitudes of a modern and liberal Australia.

Q3:

No, the means of distribution of content should not affect its rating.

Q4:

Yes. The volume of online content would make for an ineffective listing of "allowed" classified sites.

Complaints based classification would allow for a better system.

Q5:

No.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

None.

Q12:

There are no effective measures of controlling access to online content.

Q13:

By educating parents about their responsibilities.

Q14:

Plain packaging.

Q15:

When it has been classified R18+ or X.

Q16:

Government agencies: Nil

Industry Bodies: Nil

Users: A selected group should be chosen to give an average "standard" of classification for the nation.

Q17:

Yes.

Q18:

Video Games.

Q19:

Yes.

Q20:

Yes. The absence of an R18+ rating for videogames causes confusion.

Q21:

R18+ category should be added for video games.

Q22:

Standardise the ratings levels, and have a standard list of what constitutes offensive content.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

Child pornography should remain illegal to access, but the government should not start the current filtering scheme to do so.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Yes. Nationalise the scheme.

Q27:

An universal classification scheme covering all australian media.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

See Above.

Other comments: