

CI 1554 C Dixon

First name: Christopher

Last name: Dixon

Q1:

The ALRC should focus on developing a new framework for classification.

Q2:

The ALRC should focus on developing a new classification which represents adults and respects their maturity.

Q3:

No. Unless the technology or platform is easily accessible to young children and their parents cannot subsequently prevent them from their exposure to mature rated media, adults should not have their educational liberties impinged upon by sensationalists, scaremongers, or those otherwise too irresponsible to raise their children properly.

Q4:

No. Although it is personally fine with me, it is unreasonable to expect that every rating should have to be warranted by a complaint.

Q5:

No. The potential impact of content is far too highly worried about. From the age of four children are able to discern fact and fiction, and can thankfully reason under what contexts observed behaviour should be emulated or not.

Q6:

The market position of producers and distributors should not adversely affect whether content should be classified. This would pointlessly favour larger businesses and conversely stifle innovation of smaller companies.

However, the potential mass market reach of the material should be taken into a consideration when deciding whether content should be classified.

The size of publishers and the potential mass market reach of their publications are often correlated, but it is important to note that they are fundamentally different things.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No. Unless the music or sound recording is blatantly promoting obscene actions or morals, concerns for sound recordings should be overlooked. Please be very liberal in this sense.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No. If media is rated as adult only, it is solely the responsibility of the adult accessing and potentially sharing the media to others to ensure that it does not adversely affect those around him / her.

Q11:

The extent to which the liberties of responsible, normal people would suffer.

Q12:

Stronger societal morals concerning childrens' access to mature content. Also, making childrens' content more entertaining for them, reducing the incentive for them to seek more mature content.

Q13:

Through requiring the payment of credit cards. Very few children have access to these.

Q14:

Honestly, no one looks at sexually explicit printed magazines anymore. Online pornography is a far more easily accessed, for free.

Q15:

Clearly on the front of the product, consistently at the same spot. Following from precedent this would be the lower left hand corner. Don't make it too big - people can already tell it's there.

Q16:

To respect the liberties of reasonable people and acknowledge that (unfortunately) irresponsible, poorly educated or less intelligent people and often their offspring will occasionally encounter content which does not bode well with them. Nothing is perfect. Please do not subjugate citizen's liberties to alarmist concerns.

Q17:

Yes, it would be far more effective. This would offer in hugely more efficient incentive compatibilities.

Q18:

Pornography, cooking, sports, certain hobbies.

Q19:

In this case a comprehensive classification may not be necessary. Just get a trusted person to watch it and give it their rating. If their rating is off somewhat, it won't really matter as very few people will be adversely affected.

Q20:

No. They are not understood because no body respects them, and therefore few people pay attention to them. They are just like the drinking age of 21 in the USA - before people reach 21 years old they will be inclined to abuse alcohol far more, particularly in bingeing activities. These laws are in fact counter-productive.

Q21:

Yes. There is a distinct need for an adults only classification on video and computer games. Other classifications are fine.

Q22:

Place them on the same space - bottom left corner.

Q23:

I do not honestly know enough about these acts to pass informed opinion.

Q24:

Child pornography, nothing else. It is here important to note that I think that in the interests of potential victims of those who may commit sexual assault that restriction to pornography should be kept to a minimum. Although certain types of pornography may be morally and sexually reprehensible to

normal people (for example, myself), there will always be people out there who have a fetish for certain things. Recognising this as incompatible or otherwise unacceptable for practice in everyday life or within the privacy of others, sexual deviants may gain their fix through watching certain pornography by themselves, which is much more preferable to achieving this sexual gratification at the expense of someone else. As long as the production of the pornography itself does not come to the detriment of others, let people enjoy whatever porn they're into.

As for other genres, no, I would not recommend their complete prohibition.

Q25:

Absolutely not.

Q26:

No. We live in a far more globalised world. Australian cultures is conducive throughout Australia, not just its minor territories.

Q27:

Just reintroduce it with one that comprehensively addresses all of Australia.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

Allow some kind of classification to virtually everything, and ensure that classification laws across all of Australia are consistently the same.

Other comments: