

CI 1527 M Hatton

First name: Matthew

Last name: Hatton

Q1:

A new framework should be the focus.

Q2:

A classification scheme should aim to provide a uniform way of identifying aspects of a particular piece of content that may be unsuitable for viewing by a particular group of people while not restricting access by those who determine that they do not find any aspect offensive.

Q3:

Q4:

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

Yes. Provided that classification is only used to inform, not to restrict.

Q8:

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

Q13:

By parents taking interest in what their children are attempting to access. It is not the responsibility of the Classification Scheme to enforce restrictions of access.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Generally, yes. Although their differing application across assorted media (say, from movies to video games) does cause confusion.

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Attempting to completely prohibit access to content online is impossible. Those that wish to access it will, and efforts to stop that will prove to more of a hindrance than a help.

Q25:

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

This form seems to be geared towards finding ways to enforce restrictions placed on content through classification. That is not what classification is for. Classification is to provide information to consumers about what comprises a piece of content so that they may make informed decisions about what content they purchase or allow their children to view.