

CI 1520 A Levi

First name: Adrian

Last name: Levi

Q1:

Yes

Q2:

Provide a balanced classification scheme relevant to all Australians. Not just a subsection or containing classification codes that are appealing to a minority group.

Q3:

Yes, the classification system should be limited to content released or published in Australia Only.

Q4:

No, All content should be classified under a standard system with pre-defined exceptions and inclusions. Perhaps contents could be self-asserted as classified under a specific classification heading and the self classification reviewed after a complaint or number of complaints are received

Q5:

No, All content should be classified, Adult classifications included, X and R should be available to more than ACT residents only.

Q6:

No see Q5

Q7:

See self classification scheme outlined in Answer Q4, this could apply to museums and art galleries as well.

Q8:

No. I don't believe that language in music or recordings plays a large part in the harm caused. A simple "Contains explicit language" term that is used currently should suffice.

Q9:

No, I am all for across the board all classification. Self classification with an appeals process should suffice.

Q10:

No, although content not published in Australia would fall under the legal framework of the country of origin.

Q11:

NA

Q12:

I don't believe that a National Broadband filter is the answer. If people don't wish to access specific content then the burden of filtering said content should fall with those people. The rest of the community should not be limited in any means to the nature of the content. Accessing illegal content will always be illegal but let the burden of proof fall with the government for related matters.

Q13:

Parents or Guardians be it at home or school should have the burden of monitoring online access time of juniors. Juniors should not have unfettered access to the internet, inappropriate content will always be available through a filter or otherwise. the only adequate access control available is adequate supervision.

Q14:

Current measures appropriate.

Q15:

Under a self classification scheme, all material published would carry a classification although the method of obtaining the classification would be advised ie self or determined.

Q16:

Only to the end of selling age appropriate content to age appropriate persons.

Q17:

Yes!

Q18:

All content, only determine classifications for material that has objections logged but fails to classify under the self-determined classification should be reclassified.

Q19:

Under the self-classification system only content that fails to meet it's self classification should be paying for a classification. upholding a self classification should be ramification free.

Q20:

None so far.

Q21:

RC should be merged with X. Illegal content to be enforced by the police and court system.

Q22:

Standardisation internationally for classification schemes and outlines.

Q23:

yes, It should be an ISO standard. Available world wide.

Q24:

Nil.

Q25:

RC should be merged with X. Illegal content to be enforced by the police and court system.

Q26:

See Q23, International standard at best National at worst.

Q27:

See Q23, International standard at best National at worst.

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

Remove the ban on X media outside ACT. Surprise Surprise, There are Humans that live outside the ACT as well.

Other comments:

Shake it up, we effectively live in a backwater with No free speach or rights to free speach, If this isn't changed then minority groups (Church, Copyright loby) will remove what little we have left. The system needs to be opened up more not closed down further.