

CI 1512 P Enzerink

First name: Peter

Last name: Enzerink

Q1:

Improve existing.

Q2:

Consistent across various media types.

Q3:

Not particularly although obviously some content is only applicable to certain delivery formats.

Q4:

No. All content should be classified up front. Possibly reviewed in light of a complaint.

Q5:

Content for children should be considered more carefully.

Q6:

No

Q7:

Possibly, although censoring access to historical works based on current values needs to be applied with some common sense.

Q8:

Yes

Q9:

No

Q10:

Yes. Where the access is controlled within the confines of a home there should be a concession compared to public access.

Q11:

Q12:

Games console manufacturers already offer built in classification control systems as do TV appliances such as TiVo.

Q13:

Public education - not mandatory filtering

Q14:

Current controls seem adequate

Q15:

Where material should be restricted in some manner or where packaging may not make the content clear.

Q16:

Provision of a framework for classification levels.

Q17:

Yes

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

The lack of an R rating for computer games is at odds with other content and needs urgent revision.

Q21:

The lack of an R rating for computer games is at odds with other content and needs urgent revision.

Q22:

Existing logo used for movies appears suitable.

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

Illegal material such as explicit real violence, child pornography.

Q25:

No, it includes computer games that should fall under an R rating.

Q26:

Yes to avoid confusion and more lax States becoming a hub for softer ratings.

Q27:

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

Other comments: