CI 1485 N Wilson

Q15:

Q16:

First name: Nick Last name: Wilson Q1: Develop a new framework for classification to keep everyone happy. to allow every game/movie into Australia so we can have the fun that we deserve as an Australian citizen and to actually enforce the classification scheme Q3: No, because that would be unfair for people on different platforms. No, all content should be classified so the system is fair for everyone and also so a very violent game or movie cant slip through the classification scheme. Q5: No, it should not so everything and everyone has a fair go. Q6: No, everything should be classified. Q7: Yes, because anyone can look at art and you don't want little kids looking at things that they should not. Q8: Yes, because with modern day songs there is more inappropriate content in music than there ever was and it is allot more easier to access Ω9: No. Q10: No. Q11: None, everything should be classified equally. request people to sign up to the site that is required to access the content and they have to put in their age, and if its under the required age, they are denied access. Q13: Recommend that their parents monitor what they look at on their computer. Q14: ask for ID in stored and dispose of out of date magazines appropriately.

To classify it appropriately and to use it correctly and not give it to people that are underage.

All content should have to. and say if there's violence or bad language or anything of that sort.

\cap	4	7	
IJ	П	-/	

Yes, because the makers of the game would know more about it and would be able to help the government.

Q18:

anything that is educational or has been in a series as other games of its sort made by the same publisher.

Q19:

No, because then it would be easier to get inappropriate things through the classification.

Q20:

Yes, they are all understood, just not enforced correctly.

Q21:

Yes, there should be a R18+ classification for games, considering there already is for movies.

Q22

the one body of government classifies the one product for every platform.

Q23:

No. because that is the main part that needs to rethought and rewritten.

Q24:

None.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

No, they should be rethought for a country wide enforcement instead of single places.

Q27:

one that covers the whole of Australia.

Q28:

Yes, so it can get many points of view.

Q29:

Ask the community what they think instead of hiding it and making them find out about it for themselves like everyone had to do with this.

Other comments: