

CI 1468 K Russell

First name:

Kyle

Last name:

Russell

Q1:

No. This will not do as you say you want to (Stop kiddy porn). Those type people will always have p2p networks, FTP & countless other methods. Pedophiles have a diagnosed illness. This isn't caused by what content they've seen in the past.

Q2:

None. There should be no scheme. What gives the Australian Govt the right to dictate what I see?

This isn't china. Or is it?

Just to confirm. I'm not talking about kiddy porn. I strongly oppose it.

Q3:

no

Q4:

Anybody can complain about anything & make it sound like a worthy cause. This is dangerous censorship.

Q5:

No. Who decides what "Potential impact" content will have?! Smells like China.

Q6:

China?

Q7:

No. Let the user make the decision on what they do and don't want to see. There are nanny filters for people under age.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

No content should be classified, this is just a stepping stone to banning content. Once it is banned nobody can access it so who's to say it was banned for the reasons we're told?!

Q12:

EDUCATION.

Q13:

Nanny filters. There's thousands on the market. We don't need our government to force it upon us.

These nanny filters cost money. The funds they pool go into researching sites for their ban list.

Q14:

It cannot. Thumb drives? flash cards? you're idiots if you think you can save the world.

Q15:

It should not.

Q16:

The "government" should take no part in censoring the internet for Australians.

Q17:

No.

Q18:

None.

Q19:

Subsidise? Abolish.

Q20:

..

Q21:

No.

Q22:

..

Q23:

..

Q24:

NO content should be "Prohibited". The government has no place censoring the internet.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

No.

Q27:

None.

Q28:

Definitely not.

Q29:

Take it down!

Other comments: