

CI 1415 D Harrison

First name: David

Last name: Harrison

Q1:

Improving key elements

Q2:

To provide a framework for creation of guidelines for consumers to help them choose appropriate material.

Q3:

I don't think so.

Q4:

That sounds like a good idea, although there'd need to be some sort of safeguards to stop lobby groups abusing the system by complaining en masse about certain types of content.

Q5:

Possibly / no

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No. For particularly unusual or potentially offensive material a subtle warning could perhaps be provided, akin to the "explicit lyrics" notice on music CDs.

Q8:

No

Q9:

Possibly. However, perhaps small audience things could be self-classified by the author to provide a guideline.

Q10:

No

Q11:

Q12:

Parental education and supervision.

Q13:

Better parental monitoring.

Q14:

Well, I don't necessarily believe that all sexually explicit magazines should be controlled, but the obvious way to restrict it is via fines for selling to minors at point-of-sale.

Q15:

When it is publicly accessible or at point-of-sale.

Q16:

Q17:

I think so. I feel that generally industry would be happy to self-classify and, generally, would be responsible enough to do so.

Q18:

Again, I'd be happy for industry to self-classify as I think in almost all cases the classification is obvious.

Q19:

No.

Q20:

Yes. They're pretty simple.

Q21:

Video games is in dire need of an R18+ rating. The industry is suffering in Australia because of it - the video game industry is now bigger than music, bigger than movies, and as a result there is a wide variety of content available. Adults want the freedom to play adult games, and at the moment there are circumstances in which they can't do this.

Q22:

I think they're consistent enough as it is.

Q23:

There should be one set of guidelines for everyone to adhere to. Anything else is confusing, irritating and (presumably) a waste of taxpayer dollars.

Q24:

None. I believe prohibiting access of some content types is a slippery slope. There are existing laws dealing with possession of inappropriate content that are perfectly adequate in dealing with criminals.

Q25:

Unknown.

Q26:

Yes, in the sense that they should be the same across the board.

Q27:

Unknown.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

Other comments:

The goal of classification should be to provide a guidelines that can inform people's decisions when it comes to purchasing or consuming content.

Everyone has different opinions about what is appropriate, enjoyable, or entertaining. Attempting to create a common framework that satisfies everyone while restricting access to certain types of content seems, to me, to be a futile task.