

CI 1371 J Newnham

First name: Jonathan

Last name: Newnham

Q1:

Q2:

A classification scheme should aim to be as minimally restrictive as possible, while still being an informative for parents.

Q3:

No.

Q4:

Yes. Content that may not be widely distributed would require too many resources to classify in advance, and the vast majority of it will not cause problems.

Q5:

Content explicitly marketed for children should be reviewed for appropriateness, bearing in mind that children are less capable of critical thinking.

Q6:

Yes. Widely distributed content should be classified in advance.

Q7:

Q8:

Q9:

Yes. Widely distributed content should be classified in advance.

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

Parental supervision (and education). Technical controls are wasteful and ineffective. Online content should not be part of the National Classification Scheme.

Q13:

Parental supervision. Technical controls from outside the home will be intrusive, ineffective and generally bad.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Government agencies or industry bodies should rate content, but not restrict access. Users should observe ratings and make their own decisions.

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

No, classification should not be subsidised.

Q20:

Yes, the descriptions / age range given is clear.

Q21:

There should be an R 18+ category for games.

Q22:

The standard symbols are pretty good already.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

None. Prohibition is ineffective. Existing laws already permit prosecution for involvement with child pornography.

Q25:

No. Prohibition is ineffective. Existing laws already permit prosecution for involvement with child pornography.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

Thanks for doing this inquiry! :-)