

CI 1358 J Strutt

First name: Jacob

Last name: Strutt

Q1:

New framework, include the R18+ category for games, don't censor the internet, don't be foolish.

Q2:

Ensuring freedom of choice on moral matters.

Q3:

No, an interactive horror game should be just as restricted as non interactive, its the viewers choice, not the governments. The government is saving itself if it includes the rating too, since the classification of the material means the government is not liable for prosecution in the event someone is offended. BE MORE STRICT, INCLUDE R 18+! YOU SAVE YOUR OWN ASS.

Q4:

No, you shouldn't worry about complaints, it was the viewers choice, and there are always reviews and ratings of a product by others online. It's not the governments fault.

Q5:

No No Oh Dear God No.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

NO! ITS THE VIEWER'S CHOICE

Q8:

NOOOOO IT'S THE VIEWER'S CHOICE

Q9:

NO! ITS THE VIEWER'S CHOICE

Q10:

NO! ITS THE VIEWER'S CHOICE

Q11:

I think you get the theme of my answers enough to know my answer.

Q12:

Users responsibility, don't WORRY ABOUT IT

Q13:

By the parents. *lightbulb?*

Q14:

VIEWERS..... CHOICE.....

Q15:

At the "checkout" or "catalog" whatever form they come in

Q16:

None, None, All

Q17:

no.

Q18:

All

Q19:

Always.

Q20:

M/MA. Why bother? Make M PG

Q21:

PG and M, Plus you need more Tiers when it comes to R and above.

Q22:

It is consistent enough

Q23:

No

Q24:

Child Sexual Abuse, Bestiality and hate groups (Suicide pacts, racial hate, cultic perversions) But these cannot be simply banned, the instigators should be tracked and apprehended.

Q25:

No, as I said, track down the bastards abusing children and jail them.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

It would be easier to maintain with other government bodies behind it...

Q29:

Other comments: