

CI 1313 A Burstamante

First name: Andres

Last name: Bustamante

Q1:

It should work to improve. The classification system is there to allow citizens to make judgement on products based on their ratings. Denying adults content because it does not meet approval for persons under 15 or over is counter productive.

Q2:

To allocate a rating of maturing and content for citizens to view and make choices if appropriate to them.

Q3:

No. There is no reason to say that books should be judged harsher than films because peoples imaginations or its description is in greater detail than film. Same should be applied to all technologies and or platforms.

Q4:

Complaints are to be looked into but not all to be taken seriously. The content should be classified at the digress and judgement of the review board, it shouldn't have to moved by complaints but use them as a basis for investigation.

Q5:

Potential impact is hard to determine. A content should be classified and listed for what it displays and portrays.

Q6:

Because some content has greater access to the mass market shouldn't exclude other forms of content with less access to be given a blind eye.

Q7:

Artworks should be classified for what they are showing.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No,

Q10:

No.

Q11:

Content should not be refused classification because children might have access to it. That's the point behind a classification system, it should not punish others by denying access to particular content because younger audience might access it when it was never intended for them.

Q12:

Self review and public monitoring and feedback.

Q13:

The Government should stop thinking its their role to bring up children. They play a factor but its ultimately up to their parents or guardians to provide education and supervision.

Q14:

Proof of age.

Q15:

yes, but in a sense it does not interfere with the original content and its purpose. Should be non intrusive.

Q16:

To review and classify content for all ages. It should not take the role of protecting innocence.

Q17:

yes

Q18:

Q19:

Those who require financial aid.

Q20:

No, the ratings classification causes confusion. Its no illusion to hear of someone purchasing content that is meant for persons above 15+ to then complain that they didnt know it was not suitable for children.

Q21:

People understand what is common and familiar. R18+ gives the immediate reaction and knowledge that it should be only viewed by adults. This classification is needed for adult content that is currently denied classification or altered from its original design.

Q22:

Q23:

The addition of an R18+ rating would strengthen the classification system.

Q24:

Public review and voting must be considered here. A body of regulators might only black list bias content.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

No.

Q27:

One that allows content for adults be allowed for the consumption of adult minds.

Q28:

Yes, the Commonwealth seems to understand what majority of people want introduced.

Q29:

Less power to few individuals to effectively block change and more power and access to information to a greater scope of people in the community.

Other comments: