CI 1253 A Fitton

First name: Adam Last name: Fitton

Q1:

Improving key elements of the existing framework

Q2:

To clearly identify what a given media contains, such as currently in place, e.g. Nudity, Violence, etc. It should secondly identify whether the material requires purchase by an adult. It should thirdly give a recommendation on minimum age appropriateness.

Q3:

Yes. Certain platforms or technology should be allowed to obtain an unrated platform assignment. Regulation should stipulate that the entire platform is not rated and it should only be allowed to be accessed by adults. This is to ensure platforms are available for unencumbered political speech. This could be an entire technology or a simple website.

 Ω 4

No. All content to be sold to under 18s should be classified. Free distribution should not need classification.

Q5:

No. However content SOLD to children should require classification.

Q6:

Yes. Free content should be able to avoid classification.

Q7:

Yes, if payment for entry is required.

Q8:

Yes if sold.

Ω9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

The internet should remain unclassified. However with an opt in approach to limit access to specific classification ratings.

Q12:

Ignore it. Trying to place a restriction on the internet only shines a spotlight on it.

Q13:

By providing a series of opt in filters provided at different classification age groups.

Q14:

Very hard to.

Q15:

When it is being sold.

Q16:

Providing opt in filters and ensuring a simple and informative classification system.
Q17:
Possibly.
Q18:
Content which is sold.
Q19:
Only if sold.
Q20:
The current models of P, PG, M, do not provide recommended age groups. The focus should be on
what the content includes, not just who it suitable for.
Q21:
There should simply be:
- What is in the content: e.g. violence, nudity, etc listed
- Restriction on buying: either "No Restriction", "Accompanied by an Adult", "18+ Only", and not
classified which would be 18+ only.
- Recommended minimum age.
Q22:
Just a slight reworking of the current labels to match what I have said above.
Q23:
Yes, definately. And made simpler.
Q24:
None should be prohibited!
Q25:
No. Refused Classification should be accessible by 18+ adults.
Q26:
Yes. Having Federal government manage it.
Q27:
The States should pass powers to the Commonwealth on this matter. A single act of parliament plus a
classification body to manage a simple classification system.
Q28:
Yes, see above.
Q29:
Making it simple and clear.
Other comments:
As above:
Each item should have a sticker on it that identifies:
- What is in the content: e.g. violence, nudity, etc listed

- Restriction on buying: either "No Restriction", "Accompanied by an Adult", "18+ Only", and not

classified which would be 18+ only.
- Recommended minimum age.