

CI 1206 S Bond

First name: Simon

Last name: Bond

Q1:

Improving key elements of the existing framework

Q2:

To give information to people so that they can make informed choices about what they watch and see or display to the public.

Q3:

No

Q4:

Possibly

Q5:

Content designed and marketed for Children should be classified in that light.

Q6:

Yes

Q7:

Artworks at the extreme ends of acceptability should probably have some sort of guidelines towards self classification or perhaps artworks containing nudity and or violence ect may need to be classified if they are extreme.

Q8:

As above only in extreme circumstances

Q9:

Yes

Q10:

Yes, what is unacceptable publicly may be fine in a private setting

Q11:

That classification shouldn't be used as a censoring tool. Merely a device to allow people to make informed choices about whether to watch , see ect things they may find offensive.

Q12:

Access to content should not be controlled merely given a classification

Q13:

Access to online material should be controlled by the parents of children.

Q14:

I don't see this is being a huge problem currently.

Q15:

anything that could not be displayed in public may need to display its classification.

Q16:

To provide classification and guidance on content. Not to censor content.

Q17:

Such a model seems to work for television and would give the industry involved greater ability to tailor requirements to their particular industry so yes.

Q18:

PG and G perhaps

Q19:

I don't know enough about all the relevant areas of industry and impact to make an informed decision here.

Q20:

Yes

Q21:

No

Q22:

By changing the current system to reflect this.

Q23:

Possibly

Q24:

None. If content on an Australian server, private computer ect is illegal to possess it is a matter for the police not an excuse to censor media..

Q25:

No. The internet is a global medium and no censorship should apply.

Q26:

By federal and interstate communication on changes and creation of laws pertaining to classification of media.

Q27:

Fixed perhaps not replaced

Q28:

See above

Q29:

By removing any ability for the framework to be used for complete censorship.

Other comments: