

CI 1170 M McCauley

First name: Mike

Last name: McCauley

Q1:

The entire system is useless and should be completely rethought.

Q2:

Provide fair treatment for all. To permit adults to conduct their lives as they see fit, including controlling (according to their own lights) the content their children access.

Q3:

No.

Q4:

It should require more than a complaint, else it can be used as a weapon against others, in the same way as the Salem witch trials. Accusations and complaints without grounds should be ignored.

Q5:

This is utterly impossible to achieve. Don't bother trying.

Q6:

All content providers should be treated equally.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

No, adults should be able to choose for themselves.

Q10:

No, adults should be able to choose for themselves.

Q11:

Q12:

There are no effective methods of controlling online content. The proposed current blacklist system is completely ineffective. It is a waste of time trying to do this at all.

Q13:

Through parents' measures in the home.

Q14:

You are wasting your time trying to do this 'better'. There is no good way to do this.

Q15:

Q16:

Users should regulate their own content. Government and industry bodies should bow out.

Q17:

No such schemes are either practical or effective: no body can monitor the entire Internet, and even if they could, no form of access control is completely effective.

Q18:

Q19:

If the government had no role in controlling access to adults information, this question would not arise.

Q20:

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

All laws should be made simpler, more concise and easier to understand. In particular, unintended consequences of the laws should be explored before promulgation.

Q24:

There is no point even trying to entirely prohibit content online by any automatic means.

Q25:

No

Q26:

Laws affecting all residents of all states should be identical. Whether this be through Commonwealth or identical State legislation, I leave to you.

Q27:

Q28:

There should only be Commonwealth law relevant to this. Otherwise there should be consistent laws across all states

Q29:

Other comments:

It is vitally important that people and web sites accused of delivering classified material should be given recourse and the opportunity to fix the problem and remove the content. There is serious possibility that intruders to a web site could post offensive material without the knowledge of the owner. If the web site could be blocked without notice and without recourse it could ruin an innocent web site owners business.

In fact, if it became policy to blacklist web sites based on content and with no recourse, it would open an obvious exploit for ruining someones business:

1. Penetrate their web site
2. Post offensive material
3. Watch the Government close your competitors site down with no recourse and no chance of recovery.

For many business, their web site is their lifeline. There should be no way for anyone, including the Government or anonymous informers, to shut down a web site permanently without recourse or notice.