

CI 1166 R Proud

First name: R

Last name: Proud

Q1:

Improving and expanding existing framework that is already in place

Q2:

To implement rating systems that are consistent across all forms of media that is sold or provided in a public forum ie Shop, Movie Cinema, Radio etc.. Content on the Internet, which will primarily be viewed in households should and in most cases, cannot be classified or refused classification.

Further to this, the inclusion of an R18+ rating to allow for adults to make their own decisions, determining if their own morals find the material offensive or not.

Q3:

No. All media should be classified the same.

Q4:

Yes, else the overhead of classifying all material that will be in the public space will become overwhelming. An example is advertisements on radio and/or TV. If a complaint is lodged, the advertisement should then be reviewed and offered classification.

Q5:

No, if it is not illegal, it should be classified appropriately. Yes, content for children should be classified as a standard.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No

Q8:

The current warning system that is in place is sufficient to allow people to make an informed decision, swearing is not illegal, and is part of the majority of children vocabulary at an early age.

Q9:

No

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Q12:

Parents over seeing and monitoring their children's on-line behaviour. Any blocking that has been attempted or will be in future is easy to circumvent, this is obvious in the current ISP filtering taking place.

Q13:

Through parental oversight and education

Q14:

Q15:

If it has been classified, it should require display.

Q16:

Q17:

This may work, though it will leave a lot of room for incorrect classification which could create a larger overhead than what is currently in place.

Q18:

Q19:

Yes, if it is a requirement to classify the media, and the company/user does not have the funds to proceed, government assistance should be provided.

Q20:

None

Q21:

R18+ should be added.

M15+ should be changed as it is not enforced in any situation I have seen

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Anything deemed illegal and is governed/controlled by the Australian government.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Yes.

Q27:

Q28:

Yes would be logical, but due to politicians over the top moral high horse actions, they should not be involved or have any influence in the classification system. Someone's religious views are not mine and vis versa.

Q29:

Other comments: