

## CI 1125 M Rask

First name: Michael

Last name: Rask

Q1:

Start from Scratch.

Q2:

Only a recommendation for what is age appropriate. (But then why stop at age? what about race or sex? or social group, what so important about drawing the line in the sand based on age?)

Q3:

No.

Q4:

Either do all content or none. The social issue is those who are liberal will not make a complaint about something, only those who take offence to a topic will make a complain, influencing other people to their beliefs.

Q5:

Potential is the problem hear. Some people may be affected more about a certain subject than others? what is the base line for who is potentially influenced? There is no 'normal or reasonable' base line to start from.

Q6:

Once again, either all in or all out.

Q7:

Now what is 'Art' does it have to be displayed at a museum ? Is music art? are comic books art? Art is silly term.

Q8:

If other content like books or movies are subject to regulation I don't see why audio books should not be. But why stop there? what about public speaking? or thought?

Q9:

Once again, all in or all out

Q10:

What people do at home in their own time which is not affecting others in any way should have no regulation from the outside.

Q11:

Everything should be or should not be, no middle ground.

Q12:

There isn't. We live in a great age of information which is freely available to those who wish to seek it. That information should be free an unrestricted. If anything need to stop the 'pushing' of content to those who do not choice to seek it out.

Q13:

Better parenting. Simple and effective. Maybe then after that Education. But If a child wants to find content, they will, and if something stops them they will find a way around it.

Q14:

Once again comes down to parenting. Then again teenagers these days (and arguably for centuries) are sexually active. There is no harm in them looking at pictures or movies. Some would say it does less harm than watching pornographic imagery, than the latest action movie. (Some how its ok to watching people die in movies, but not ok for some nudity. And in your life, how many people have you seen killed? compared to that naked or had sex with?)

Q15:

On advertising material? (including posters, box covers, hand outs blah blah)

Q16:

They can issue their recommendations, no harm in doing that.

Q17:

I doubt it

Q18:

If it was obvious and straightforward would be need classification to begin with?

Q19:

Have no loop holes, they will be exploited. all in or all out

Q20:

All of it. Why should a government agency tell me what I can and can not (or should or should not) watch / see / do.

Q21:

No 'banning' of any works.

Q22:

Assuming you still going by same 'age' rating. I don't see a problem for 0-4/5-10/11-17/18+

Q23:

I would think one classification for all types of media should be adequate.

Q24:

None.

Q25:

Different strokes for different folks. There is stuff out there I wouldn't watch, but I have no right to say that my neighbor shouldn't watch it.

Q26:

I would say a common federal level of classification is enough.

Q27:

N/A

Q28:

N/A

Q29:

Keep it simple.

Other comments: