

CI 1121 K Davis

First name: Kane

Last name: Davis

Q1:

new framework

Q2:

providing easily identifiable labels for media so that individuals can make informed choices about the content they will be exposing themselves or their children to.

Q3:

no. content is content.

Q4:

yes

Q5:

yes

Q6:

no

Q7:

consumer advice only

Q8:

yes.

Q9:

no

Q10:

no

Q11:

none. classification should be purely about providing information about the content.

Q12:

self control. there is no foolproof method to control access to internet content other than to either decide not to access it, or to disconnect altogether.

Q13:

by their parents.

Q14:

they're already sufficiently 'protected'

Q15:

always

Q16:

no regulation, just provide informative metadata about the content, eg violence/drug use/nudity etc

Q17:

yes

Q18:

most things. if classification is merely a descriptive attachment to a content piece which provides a brief overview of the themes of the content

Q19:

no subsidisation, especially if self classification is allowed. the content creator is uniquely positioned to be able to quickly and accurately summarise the prevalent themes of their creation.

Q20:

all of them. people are generally idiots and age based classifications are pointless. describe the content, not the target audience.

Q21:

yes. describe the content, not the target audience. if mrs smith doesn't want little johnny to see violent movies, then it doesn't really matter if a film is PG or M, it could still have violence. so again, describe the content.

Q22:

well for starters you could remove the bullshit restriction on video games being refused classification if they aren't suitable for kids under 15.

Q23:

yes

Q24:

none. contraband is contraband be it online or off, physical or virtual. there is no need for any further measures or laws to combat this, they exist already.

Q25:

no.

Q26:

state and territory classification laws are increasingly irrelevant, as the internet is a global phenomenon, content is global. draconian state laws only serve to make criminals out of people who otherwise would not be.

Q27:

one that mandates that all content be accurately described or tagged, such that people who for some reason or another are easily offended by make believe stuff can choose not to look at it.

Q28:

yes. states are irrelevant.

Q29:

ban religious interest groups from contributing to the conversation at all.

Other comments: