

CI 1076 D Anderson

First name: Daevid

Last name: Anderson

Q1:

Improve key elements

Q2:

To ensure all relevant work is classified appropriately, so that appropriate audiences can have access to material suitable for them.

Q3:

No

Q4:

No

Q5:

No. Yes.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

Yes, in terms of recommendations, not restrictions.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No.

Q11:

-

Q12:

I don't believe access to online content should be restricted.

Q13:

By personal software installed and managed by parents, and by parental supervision.

Q14:

Perhaps stronger fines for retailers, though I think the current levels of control are probably adequate.

Q15:

When there is reason to believe that a reasonable person might be offended by the material within.

Or, to warn a person where the content is unknown.

Q16:

I'm not sure about the role of Government in this. Independent industry bodies would be more appropriate, and users could form a sort of peer panel to influence decisions on classification.

Q17:

Yes, but I'm not certain that any industry should regulate itself. There should be an independent body.

Q18:

-

Q19:

yes.

Q20:

I think what is acceptable under the M and M15+ ratings is inconsistent, and sometimes PG can be pretty variable.

Q21:

I think the distinction between M and M15+ is trivial and not enforced.

Q22:

Strict design codes.

Q23:

yes.

Q24:

I think as long as there are sufficient penalties in place for accessing illegal material then restricting them at a high level (domain blacklisting, web filters etc) is unnecessary and problematic.

Q25:

-

Q26:

Yes. I should not be able to cross a state border and access things I can't access in my own state.

Q27:

-

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

-

Other comments: