

CI 1064 A Brooks

First name: Alec

Last name: Brooks

Q1:

Q2:

The objective of having classifications is to inform people of the nature of the content. It should help parents in deciding on whether or not it would be appropriate to expose their children to. If by classification you mean "ban out right" then I believe that such a scheme should not exist.

Q3:

No. A G rated film should be G regardless of the medium. It is the content that should be rated, not the platform.

Q4:

Perhaps if it is given an unjust rating then it can be resubmitted to the ratings board and it should be considered for having a higher rating, but nothing should be banned. The ratings are there to warn people not to expose themselves or others to the content if they don't want to be subjected to the material.

Q5:

Should content designed for children be classified? Yes, as G. Unless you mean banned, in which case no, kids need to watch things too. If it was exceptionally graphic and aimed at children, then I believe that it should be given an appropriate rating, which would restrict children from buying it and would tell parents not to purchase the game for their children.

Q6:

No, nothing should be outright banned, and the rating should be looked at objectively, for the content alone.

Q7:

If some artwork is very explicit then the public should be warned about what sort of content they will see at the exhibition.

Q8:

Nothing should be banned outright. There should be a rating system in place to make suggestions so that the public knows not to subject themselves or others to the content if they are offended by it.

Q9:

No, ratings should be done objectively, focusing solely on the content, and nothing should be banned.

Q10:

No, ratings should be done objectively, focusing solely on the content, and nothing should be banned.

Q11:

As stated above, I feel that nothing should be banned, and thus I feel that no factors should influence it.

Q12:

Education and advertising. People need to be taught that by viewing child pornography they are encouraging the makers to create more, by creating a market. It is completely infeasible to block all

child pornography using censorship software, because of the rate at which new sites are created, and also the fact that proxies are so readily available.

Q13:

Education and parental monitoring. Children should be taught in school about the dangers of the internet and where it is appropriate to go. Parents, if they are letting their child use the home computer, should be aware of what their child is doing and if necessary they should install censorship software themselves, and hinder their own internet instead of forcing hindered internet upon everyone else.

Q14:

This is up to the parents and magazine vendors. The vendors shouldn't be selling this material to children and parents should definitely not be buying this material for their children. Communication is key, too.

Q15:

If materials would be unsuitable for children they should be marked as such. If they may offend some people they should be marked that way also.

Q16:

Government should have a rating board. Industry bodies shouldn't have restrictions placed on them. Users should be aware of the ratings and should consume in accordance with what they want.

Q17:

This could work.

Q18:

Q19:

This shouldn't be a problem if nothing is outright banned.

Q20:

Well, I am, for one, confused by the use of the term classification when one means to ban. As I would consider "ratings" being classifications.

Q21:

I feel that for all mediums there should be an R that restricts access to only adults.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

No content should be prohibited online. By prohibiting anything we are slowing down our internet. Censorship software is also liable to be inaccurate and will block sites unintentionally. It is impractical to try and prevent the use of CP, as the filters are incredibly easy to bypass.

Q25:

No. Nothing should be banned, online or offline.

Q26:

Yes, that would be nice. But I'm not sure how it should be promoted.

Q27:

Q28:

Assuming the new framework is aligned with my way of thinking, then yes.

Q29:

Other comments: