

CI 1022 R Jones

First name: Robert

Last name: Jones

Q1:

Yes, a new framework should filter out degrading material

Q2:

The objectives should prevent the distribution of harmful material and/or is at variance with the values held by the majority of the population. Parents and users of the material should be given accurate information of the nature and content of the material. Children must be protected from unsuitable material.

Q3:

No

Q4:

No, classification should always apply.

Q5:

All content should be classified regardless of the target audience

Q6:

No. This question obfuscates the issues.

Q7:

Any so-called artwork which is offensive and exploits humanity, especially in its use of children as sexual objects, should be refused classification.

Q8:

Definitely, since women have been depicted as sexual objects by recordings on sale in Australia

Q9:

No, the size is irrelevant.

Q10:

The place of where information is accessed is irrelevant.

Q11:

Material should not be deliberately offensive in a religious manner.

Q12:

There should be mandatory filtering of internet content at the ISP level, and parents or guardians must be held accountable for the content of material to which their children are exposed.

Q13:

See Q12

Q14:

Children should be prevented from entering areas where material classified as (R) is sold.

Q15:

Always

Q16:

The aim overall should be to protect the common good.

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

The question is unclear.

Q20:

Existing classification is not well understood.

Q21:

Films or games containing explicit depictions of sexual acts should be refused classification. Such films and games have been cited in court as being implicated in the sexual abuse of women and children.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

So-called games and films which depict sick sex and/or violence should be prohibited.

Q25:

Q26:

Laws should be consistent, and reflect community-held values.

Q27:

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

Other comments: