CI 23 L Hewitt

First name: Luke Last name: Hewitt

Q1: Yes.

Q2: To bring about an R18+ rating for content.

Q3: No, the technology or platform has nothing to do with the actual content.

Q4: Unsure.

Q5: No, whether an impact is good or bad doesn't mean that the content in question is 'good' or 'bad'.

Q6: No, once again, the size of the market has no bearing on whether the content in question is 'good' or 'bad'.

Q7: No.

Q8: Yes.

Q9: Perhaps, if, for example, a music band that had an average audience age that ranged from 8 to 12, it would be wise to classify any material that was vulgar or promoted violence, etc.

Q10: No.

Q11: Unsure.

Q12: Unsure.

Q13: Parental control, putting up a giant internet filter makes everyone suffer for a job that should fall solely in the hands of the parent. Parents should supervise their children if they are on the internet and teach them openly about anything that they have already seen, rather than wrapping children in cotton wool and relying on the government to do a parent's job.

Q14: I don't think this is a big issue at all, the regulation is already there, as long as shop keepers require ID for sexually explicit material there's not much else that can be done.

Q15: Only if it contains some sort of explicit material.

Q16: They should set out the rules, and make sure they are abided by, but anything further than that is futile.

Q17: Yes.

Q18: All content that is obvious and straightforward.

Q19: Anything that is small should be subsidized, large businesses should have to pay for it themselves.

Q20: No.

Q21: There NEEDS to be an R18+ for video games, all the studies show that the average gamer is growing older and older. In the UK the average gamer is 23 years old, and in the US the average gamer is a staggering 35 years old. The industry is no longer focused on kids, and there are hundreds of 'mature' games released every year. It is only fitting to follow the rest of the world in suit and add a R18+ classification.

Q22: Unsure.

Q23: Yes.

Q24: I think online prohibition should be kept to a bare minimum, child pornography and illegal activities such as rape, murder and all the rest should definitely be banned, but anything else is just silly and is asking to be exploited.

Q25: No, pornography should definitely not be prohibited and there are plenty of other things that fall under the 'Refused Classification' category that should never have been there in the first place.

Q26: Definitely not.

Q27: Unsure.

Q28: Unsure.

Q29: Less prohibition in general.

Other comments: