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## Introduction

The Australian Research Network on Law and Ageing (ARNLA) is an Australian wide network of legal scholars who are experts in the field.  Central to the work of ARNLA is the promotion of human rights and freedoms for older persons, drawn from the principal international instruments concerning older persons – the UDHR, ICCPR, ICESCR, CRPD and the UN Principles for Older Persons.

ARNLA is pleased to have this opportunity to make a submission on some of the questions raised in the ALRC Discussion Paper, *Elder Abuse and Commonwealth Laws (IP 47).*

This submission addresses specific questions contained in the discussion paper and our response is organized under corresponding headings.

In addition to responding to specific questions, we have also attached

Appendix 1: Draft Charter of Rights and Freedoms of Older Persons. This Charter outlines a human rights framework that informs the work of ARNLA.

Appendix 2: ARNLA biography and bibliography
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#### Question 2: What are the key elements of best practice legal responses to elder abuse?

Best practice legal responses to elder abuse include:

* Respecting the wishes of the elderly person
* Maintaining the benefit of meaningful relationships of elderly person with others[[1]](#footnote-1)
* Protecting the legal rights and entitlements of the elderly person
* Providing supportive and appropriate processes for resolving civil disputes and criminal charges arising from alleged elder abuse

#### Question 3: Examples of elder abuse

Examples of abuse involving enduring powers especially related to intimate relationships

ARNLA member Professor Robyn Carroll has experience as a member of a tribunal exercising original jurisdiction in adult guardianship and administration matters and with power to make orders with respect to enduring instruments. Professor Carroll has observed at times conduct by family members, carers, agencies and institutions that could be described as elder abuse. [[2]](#footnote-2)

Professor Carroll would like to draw to the Commission’s attention actions taken that interfere with an elderly person’s personal and intimate relationships. Specifically, circumstances where children take actions, sometimes purporting to be in their parent’s best interests, which interfere with a marriage, de facto or friendship relationship. This can have both emotional and financial implications for the elderly person.

There are some parallels between these situations and parenting disputes between adults concerning their children. The conduct often involves disputes between children, and siblings (including step children and other blended family members), and their (elderly) parent(s). Not surprisingly, there are parallels between family law parenting and property disputes (to which the *Family Law Act 1975* (Cth) applies) and family disputes concerning lifestyle decisions and the financial affairs of elderly parents.

Here are three examples.

1. Two children of a married couple apply to be appointed guardian and administrator of their mother. Their mother, who lives with their father, suffers dementia and is declared to be incapable of making decisions about her living arrangements and finances. The children make it known that they want their mother to leave the family home where she lives with her husband, their father, and be placed in an aged care facility. No application is made in respect of the father who does not attend the hearing at which the children are appointed joint administrators of their mother. The children are not appointed joint guardians for their mother as there is no express support from their father or evidence that their father is unable to make lifestyle decisions for his wife. The children’s proposal in this case would ‘force’ a separation of husband and wife and without the consent of the husband this is an interference with their parent’s marriage relationship. If the children believe that their father is not competent to make decisions about his wife’s best interests, their concern ought to be addressed through an application for separate guardianship applications for both of their parents.
2. An elderly woman has formed a close attachment to a man she has met in the retirement village in which she lives. The woman’s daughter does not approve of the relationship. Potentially the daughter is concerned that the man may be able to bring family law proceedings as a de facto partner against her mother if their relationship continues and eventually breaks down. The daughter applies to be appointed as guardian for her mother and moves to another part of the state with her mother where her mother’s close friend is unable to maintain a relationship with her. Rather than support meaningful relationships between her mother and others, the daughter’s actions in forcing her mother apart from her close companion could constitute emotional abuse. If the elderly woman in his case does need a substitute decision maker and the absence of anyone other than her daughter making herself available to take on the role, there is little that can be done to prevent this occurring, other than through an appointment of the public advocate in preference to the daughter. There are funding implications of an appointment in these terms and it requires a finding that the daughter is not suitable to make interests in her mother’s best interests. This may be difficult to substantiate.
3. The children of a man and his first wife manage their father’s finances as he is no longer competent to make financial decisions for himself. They are the children of their father’s first marriage. Their father is married to his second wife who is financially dependent on her husband. Her husband has bequeathed to his second wife a life interest in his house and made known his wish to support her financially during his lifetime. His wife is not a beneficiary of his will. The children of the second wife are concerned that her husband’s children are withholding financial support for their mother now that her husband has left the family home to live in an aged care facility. The suspicion is that the husband’s children regard their step-mother’s financial support as a responsibility of her family (here beneficiaries), not their father. The more that is paid to their stepmother the less that will be available under their father’s will for them, his beneficiaries. To counter any financial abuse of the second wife in this case someone, most likely the mother’s children, would need to commence spousal maintenance proceedings in the Family Court.

It can be seen from example 3 that there is scope for Family Law Act proceedings to be commenced on behalf of an elderly person. This can work to the advantage of an elderly person in some circumstances. For example, if an elderly person has made clear their desire to separate from their former partner and needs someone to act as their case guardian.[[3]](#footnote-3) At the same time, concerns surround the possibility that children might ‘force’ the separation of a married or de facto couple so that property proceedings can be commenced on behalf of a party to the relationship who has lost decision making capacity while both parties to the marriage are still alive.[[4]](#footnote-4) A division of the property of the parties to a marriage or de facto relationship can have inheritance implications and be motivated by these.

While property and spousal maintenance applications can be brought by a case guardian, proceedings that are more personal in nature, in particular the decision to legally separate from a spouse or de facto partner cannot be made by a substitute decision maker or a case guardian.[[5]](#footnote-5)

There is potential for family law property proceedings to be used as a means of financial abuse. This must inform the decision of adult guardianship and administration decision makers in tribunal proceedings and the appointment of case guardians in the Family Court.[[6]](#footnote-6)Some instances of financial abuse of an elder can be avoided by the ability of an elderly person, or a case guardian acting on behalf of an elderly person who lacks the capacity to litigate for themselves, to commence property and/or spousal maintenance proceedings in the Family Court if their spouse or de facto partner refused to provide them with proper financial support.[[7]](#footnote-7) In summary, Family Law Act property and maintenance proceedings are one way that financial abuse can be avoided, albeit in limited and infrequently encountered circumstances.

Examples of elder abuse by professionals abusing powers of attorney or enduring powers

The following examples are taken from Australian case law and provide examples of Elder abuse perpetrated by professionals.  These cases raise the prospect of further hidden abuse perpetrated by professionals in circumstances where the older person may not have any close friends or relatives to make a complaint about the behaviour in question.  These case studies demonstrate how powers of attorney and enduring guardianship can be used to facilitate financial abuse.  In these case studies, the older person was wealthy and isolated, becoming increasingly dependent on the professional for assistance.  The professional then used their relationship to access the older person’s finances:

1. *Legal Services Commissioner v O’Donnell* [2015] NSWCATOD 17

A lawyer was found guilty of professional misconduct and struck off the roll after it came to light that he had been charging legal fees to an older person with a cognitive impairment for work that was of a non-legal nature.  For instance, he charged his professional hourly rate for taking Mr M for a drive and to attend an ACAT assessment.

Mr O’Donnell initially befriended the older person after buying a unit in the same complex.  Mr M came to increasingly rely on the solicitor during a period of cognitive decline, first diagnosed in 2009.  “On 12 June 2010 Mr M executed a will whereby he appointed, “*my friend and Solicitor Bernard Kevin O’ Donnell to be ‘the executrix* (sic) *and trustee of this my will*” and gave his entire estate (subject to payment of debts testamentary and funeral expenses) to “*my friend and Solicitor Bernard Kevin O’Donnell*”.

On 2 March 2011 Mr M granted Mr O’Donnell an enduring Power of Attorney, witnessed by Ms O’Donnell, a solicitor and the daughter of Bernard O’Donnell.  Numerous transactions made under the Power of Attorney, transferred funds to Mr O’Donnell’s personal accounts. These transactions caused concern for Mr M’s bank manager and for Mr M’s former wife, the beneficiary of a previous will. Mr M’s former wife consulted her own lawyers and initiated complaints about Mr O’Donnell’s conduct.

Amongst other matters, the Tribunal found that Mr O’Donnell had charged Mr M a total of $42,173.69 for non-legal matters, payments prohibited by section 12 of the *Powers of Attorney Act* 2003, as an act conferring a benefit upon himself.  Mr O’Donnell was also found to have loaned money from Mr M.

1. *Berger v Council of the Law Society of NSW* [2013] NSWSC 1080

Mr Berger was suspended  from practice after complaints were made that he had charged an elderly woman legal fees without adequately outlining his rates and charges, and that he had charged legal fees for non-legal work.  As Beech- Jones J observed in that case at [136] “The circumstances reveal that a very high degree of trust was placed in Mr Berger. While she was alive Mrs Domabyl was extremely vulnerable in terms of looking after her own interests. As her solicitor, donee of her power of attorney and co-executor of her will Mr Berger exercised a high degree of control over her assets both before and after her death. There was little scope for outside scrutiny and, to the extent that there was any, Mr Berger was able to avoid it for a period of time. He undertook the very type of transactions that reflect the great trust placed in solicitors and the necessity that, above all else, their honesty and probity not be in doubt.”

1. *The State of Western Australia v Atherley* [2015] WADC 45

As outlined in the overview of the prosecution case Mr Atherley was the accountant for Ms Mary Taylor Eva and the principal of an accounting practice in Osborne Park called Atherley’s (Atherley’s Accounting). He held an enduring power of attorney (EPA) for Ms Eva and he became her legal guardian following her decline from dementia. The Court found Mr Atherly guilty of stealing in excess of $1.68 million from Ms Eva’s accounts, a pattern that continued after her death when he failed to notify the beneficiaries of their status under her Will and continued to steal from the estate.

Mr Atherly was found guilty of stealing and giving false testimony and sentenced to more than seven years jail.

1. *Legal Services Commissioner of New South Wales v Reymond* [2014] NSWCATOD 14:

A solicitor was reprimanded and ordered to pay compensation to the family of an older woman he had befriended after he drew a will in which he was the sole beneficiary, executor and trustee. The Court held that Mr Reymond had deceived the older woman by not disclosing the requirement that she should receive independent advice about drawing a will.

1. *Matouk v Matouk (No 2)* [2015] NSWSC 748

This case provides an example of the possible consequences of a lawyer’s failure to properly take instructions from an older person. The case involves two siblings who fraudulently obtained the transfer to them of their 75yr old mother’s home after convincing their mother that she was signing a power of attorney. The solicitor who witnessed the property transfer spoke only English, whilst the mother spoke only Arabic. The same solicitor acted for the mother's son when she lodged a caveat on the title to the home. The solicitor’s failure to properly interview the older person, obtain instructions from her personally and ensure that she understood what she was signing, led to lengthy and expensive court proceedings. The solicitor was reprimanded for acting in a matter where there was a conflict of interest and ordered to pay compensation.  The elderly woman’s daughter’s conduct was held to be unconscionable and the property transfers the result of undue influence.

Examples of abuse involving older people from CALD background

These comments are based on research undertaken in Western Australia in 2014.[[8]](#footnote-8) We acknowledge that the findings may not all flow through to the other Australian states and territories, but we believe there are some issues that will be reflected elsewhere and are relevant to a discussion of elder abuse.

In several instances the research noted that family relationships and cultural norms impact on many CaLD Seniors financial security.

This is especially the case with older women who have lost their husband and have been persuaded to live with family. Indeed, Capacity Australia note that gender differences in elder abuse amplified by cultural determinants, for example in some cultures where women have inferior social status they are more at risk of being abandoned and their properties seized when their husbands die.[[9]](#footnote-9)

1. For example, in one case an 80-year-old Italian woman wanted to remain living in her home after the death of her husband. She was mobile and was well networked in her local area. There were women her own age who used to speak to her in Italian. The woman could speak some English but preferred to speak Italian.

The woman’s son ‘decided’ that she could not cope on her own. He arranged for the house to be sold and insisted that his mother execute the documents. The mother felt that she was obliged to do so and wanted to preserve the relationship with her son.

The mother moved in with the son’s family and was very unhappy. The money from the sale of the house was taken by the son. She experienced social isolation as she was out of a familiar environment and did not have the same access to public transport. Eventually her daughter, concerned about what had occurred and about her mother, took the mother to live with her. The money is gone and the son no longer speaks to his mother.

1. In another case, recent immigrants who came to join relatives in Australia had experienced financial abuse by the family. The older couple brought a considerable amount of money with them as they were told they had to buy a house to emigrate. The family moved into the house and the older couple were effectively marginalised. Again language was a barrier and a reluctance to ‘upset’ family.
2. The relationship with sons in laws or daughters in laws was often fraught. For example, an older woman from a European background who had gone to live with her son and daughter in law. The daughter in law did not like the way the older woman ate (the daughter in law said that the older woman made too much noise) so she (the older woman) was not allowed to eat with the family anymore. Gradually the situation reached a point where she rarely left her room.
3. Responsibilities for cooking, cleaning and child care were often seen to be the ‘duty’ of the older person.[[10]](#footnote-10) Failure to do this, or demonstrating a reluctance to do so, could be met with abuse, including physical abuse.

The main issues were the problems of social isolation, the language barrier, simply not realising that this was an instance of abuse – that the older person should be ‘glad’ to be living with family, and/or a fear of involving other people or authorities (either because of family pride or fear of authority figures emanating from experiences in home countries)

Solutions related to CaLD examples

1. A realisation that differing cultural norms do not regard the conduct as abuse and perceptions of abuse change depending on cultural practises and beliefs. [[11]](#footnote-11)
2. A CaLD specific strategy which includes the recruitment of a bi-lingual and bi-cultural workforce and appropriate funding for language services.[[12]](#footnote-12) Information and education regarding elder abuse must be provided and ways to adequately disseminate the material developed.
3. As families tend to take on caring responsibilities within CaLD communities, it is important that this does not lead to an abdication of support from government and service providers.[[13]](#footnote-13)
4. More specifically tailored residential models for CaLD communities.[[14]](#footnote-14)
5. The use of culturally appropriate assessments and trained interpreters to ensure care plans are tailored with culturally appropriate goals.[[15]](#footnote-15)
6. Early referral to diagnose dementia in people from CaLD backgrounds.[[16]](#footnote-16)

Regarding elder abuse specifically we support the work and findings of Dr Barbara Black Blundell and Dr Mike Clare: *Elder abuse in culturally and linguistically diverse communities: Developing best practice.*[[17]](#footnote-17)

In particular, we support the findings (also reflected in our 2014 project) that:

* Language barriers, increased vulnerability, and decreased access - People who speak little English are at increased risk of elder abuse due to the difficulties in gaining information about services available and also due to challenges when navigating complex service systems and communicating their needs. Information about elder abuse and services available needs to be communicated in a variety of media and specifically targeted to reach those who are most isolated in the community.[[18]](#footnote-18)
* Older people from non-English speaking backgrounds may need intensive assistance from interpreters to engage in mainstream services.[[19]](#footnote-19)
* Isolation and increased vulnerability to elder abuse is a consequence of not being fluent in English and this is exacerbated by migration and loss of informal support networks. This may be addressed by programs designed to rebuild community support networks.
* Cultural mores as well as a desire to keep private matters within the family may also be barriers to seeking help in dealing with elder abuse. This may be combated by raising awareness of elder abuse and available service responses in a variety of languages and media.

Examples of Elder Abuse directed toward LGBTI people

1. Instances of abuse in residential and aged care facilities are of considerable concern. This is despite training programs introduced by organisations such as GRAI in Western Australia to educate staff and administration in aged care facilities.
2. A range of examples have been raised, for example:

* denial of visits from family members or from friends without staff approval;
* refusal to allow same-sex partners to room together; and
* refusal to involve families of choice in medical decision making, even when there are legal directives in place.

1. Example Retirement Villages [[20]](#footnote-20)
2. Each jurisdiction has legislation regulating the retirement village industry. There is no reference to discrimination in the legislation itself so reference must be made to the EO legislation. The religious exemption will be applicable so, theoretically, retirement villages overseen by religious providers could refuse entry to older people for, example, being a gay couple.
3. The Western Australian research heard some anecdotal reports of discrimination but the complaints were mainly in relation to other residents rather than the organisations themselves.[[21]](#footnote-21) Barrett et al report the concerns of older lesbians with regard to moving into a retirement village because: “she would have to put up with other residents who would be looking down their noses because they would be of an age when it was taboo.”[[22]](#footnote-22)
4. The heteronormative environment in some retirement villages may see older LGBTI people excluded from the village ‘community’ [[23]](#footnote-23) and there are also concerns about the attitudes of management and staff. [[24]](#footnote-24)
5. There are issues with respecting people's privacy and the ability of same-sex couples to make decisions for each other, ensuring that friends and people in the community are welcome to visit, and specific issues for transgender and intersex older people. [[25]](#footnote-25)
6. Obviously such concerns could be countered by claiming discrimination but the concern would be whether it would make the situation worse.
7. Before entering into a retirement village, the nature of the financial commitment and the difficulty in leaving a village must be considered. Many older LGBTI people are not wealthy and are less likely than the general population to be home owners. Therefore, buying into a retirement village is a significant – and continuing - financial commitment. If a person is unhappy and wants to leave the village, the effect of exit fees and the structure of the financial arrangements means that often an older person gets little money back, usually not enough to purchase property elsewhere.
8. Examples Aged Care
9. Aged care refers to a range of services including in home care[[26]](#footnote-26) and temporary or permanent residential care. Aged care is frequently provided in combination with basic medical services[[27]](#footnote-27)
10. For some time there has been concern regarding the experiences of older LGBTI people in aged care environments.[[28]](#footnote-28)
11. In relation to in-home care, the main issues are feelings of lack of privacy and judgement by carers.
12. In aged care facilities concerns have been in relation to people wanting to go into aged care as a couple, transgender people wanting to live as their chosen gender and feelings of stigma as a result of the treatment by staff and other residents.
13. Research indicates that although there may not be ill intent involved, there was a lack of understanding and ignorance about the needs and concerns of LGBTI people.[[29]](#footnote-29) In the past, aged care providers have been less likely to recognise and address the needs of LGBTI clients, in terms of health, sexual and cultural expression.[[30]](#footnote-30)
14. A common sentiment was the need to hide one’s identity in aged care. This can have serious consequences on mental health and undermine other health issues as older LGBTI people may not feel comfortable disclosing relevant personal information relating to care.[[31]](#footnote-31)
15. The National LGBTI Ageing and Aged Care Strategy lend credence to this view, stating that there is a direct correlation between discrimination and poor health.[[32]](#footnote-32) The lack of opportunity for physical intimacy in aged care facilities is a concern for LGBTI people.[[33]](#footnote-33)
16. The composition of the aged care workforce may present further difficulties for LGBTI elders. Staff in the industry are culturally diverse and often from regions where homophobia is commonplace or homosexuality criminalised.[[34]](#footnote-34) Also, the positions tend to be paid poorly and require few qualifications. There is a considerable amount of turnover in the industry and keeping staff updated on culturally appropriate responses to clients can be difficult.[[35]](#footnote-35) Research elsewhere and in Australia is consistent in that many older LGBTI people feel they need to hide their sexuality or gender identity because of the attitudes of care staff.[[36]](#footnote-36)
17. Theoretically, older LGBTI people experiencing discrimination in an aged care environment may proceed through the Aged Care Complaints procedure or proceed under the Commonwealth sex discrimination legislation. These reforms will provide some relief to those concerned about reencountering stigma through either in home services and residential facilities. The concern is, however, that laws are all very well but in reality will older LGBTI people be able – or willing – to avail themselves of the laws if they experience discrimination? They may prefer to hide their identity and ‘disappear’ or to simply put up with discrimination or harassment as they are too unwell or feel the situation may get worse. Also, once a complaint is made there may be fear of reprisals.
18. This is an area where, sadly, the law will probably be of little use, except in the most extreme cases. Therefore, it is important for the goals under the National LGBTI Aged Care Strategy to be embraced and rolled out across the sector. It seems that the key to overcoming historical stigma for older LGBTI people in aged care is not the law but the education of management and staff and an empowerment of LGBTI seniors and their advocates. Cultural awareness and intergenerational understanding are likely to be the keys to diluting historical stigma within the aged care sector

How useful are anti- discrimination legislation and complaints procedures?

1. Despite the amendment of laws to promote a degree of equality and protection from discrimination, the reality is that statutes are only as good as the willingness and ability to take action.
2. Of concern is whether, due to the stigma of times past and the realities of the ageing process, older LGBTI people may take the path of least resistance and not be aware of, or choose not to avail themselves of, legal protection.
3. Indeed, the likelihood of an older person, especially one requiring a significant level of residential care, navigating the Aged Care Complaints Scheme[[37]](#footnote-37) or commencing legal action against those providing that care is likely to be small.
4. This is especially the case where other pressing issues associated with ageing, such as assistance with day to day tasks and increasing frailty or illness, take precedence over legal proceedings. Certainly advocates may assist but the realities of doing so – and the associated stresses on the older person – may tell against such action in all but the most extreme circumstances.[[38]](#footnote-38)
5. For example, interviews with older people in relation to accommodation issues have revealed a desire to ‘remain invisible’ in relation to anything that may cause them to experience harassment or discrimination. The ageing process, with all its challenges, may not be a stage in life where people want to ‘make a stand’.
6. There can also be concern that reporting an issue may only make things worse for them. There is a fear too that if they complain about, for example discrimination regarding LGBTI status, the resultant reactions from the perpetrator may make it difficult to stay in a particular place. The present housing affordability issues and lengthy waiting lists for suitable retirement and aged care facilities makes many older people fearful of having to move and having ‘nowhere to go’.
7. As many LGBTI people have experienced unlawful discrimination over the course of their lives, it is thus imperative to ensure that this discrimination does not continue into old age.[[39]](#footnote-39)

## Family Agreements

#### Question 27: What evidence is there that older people face difficulty in protecting their interests when family agreements break down?

1. Assets for Care Arrangements: What are they?
2. “Assets for Care”, or Family Accommodation Arrangements (FAA’s) are increasing in number and kind. The essential feature is the transfer of an asset or money in a manner recognised at law, in exchange for a promise for care. The paradigm example is a parent building a granny flat, extension or paying an adult child’s mortgage, in exchange for care and accommodation as the parent(s) age.
3. Prima facie, the arrangement can be mutually beneficial to both parties. Parents have the opportunity to be exposed to a ‘vertical’ age spectrum (adult children, grandchildren) rather than ‘horizontal’ (all older people as would be the case in aged care facilities).
4. Arrangements tend to be informal – there is rarely any written agreement, nor have parties anticipated what would happen if something goes wrong; eg the parent becomes incapacitated, the child and their spouse divorce (NB, the older party in this scenario may be a party in Family Court proceedings), the adult child dies, leaving the parent to be cared for by the daughter or son in law, or the relationship breaks down. Further, these arrangements tend to be entered into after a crisis – death of a spouse, illness etc, and proceed sometimes without the knowledge or input of other adult children.
5. Assets for care arrangements come within the category of financial abuse, but are not (for the most part) within the category of ‘fraud’, and may be better described as ‘elder exploitation’.

***Question 28: What changes should be made to laws or legal frameworks to better safeguard the interests of older people when family agreements break down?***

What happens when an assets for care arrangement breaks down? Shortcomings of the current law.

1. Arrangements may fail due to a number of reasons (see Q27 (1) (b)). From a legal perspective, the issue is property or money has been transferred to the adult child, who now has legal title. There is generally no contract to argue either breach or failure of consideration.
2. The presumption of advancement can operate, which presumes the property was a gift rather than held on trust. The presumption is difficult to rebut, as intention is determined at the time of the transfer. The operation of resulting trust does not ‘see’ the transfer undertaken in exchange for the promise to care. As such, the law recognises ‘assets’ but not ‘care’.
3. In New South Wales, Northern Territory, Queensland, Victoria and Western Australia, legislation provides that no resulting trust will arise on the conveyance of land despite an absence of consideration.[[40]](#footnote-40)
4. A resulting trust will not arise where a parent extends or renovates an existing property. Any contribution to property must be towards the purchase price, or costs necessarily incurred in the acquisition of the property.[[41]](#footnote-41) In these cases, the older party would need to look to other equitable causes of action, such as claiming the arrangement was analogous to a failed joint venture, or claiming relief based of the principles of estoppel.
5. An older party may undertake to recover property in equity, relying on the doctrines of unconscionable conduct or undue influence. However the specific requirements of those causes of action need to be established. Often an older party will enter into the arrangement with no vitiating conduct by the adult child.
6. Alternative causes of action in equity include estoppel or ‘failed joint venture’.
7. Recovery of property via equitable action is rarely undertaken. The proceedings must commence in the Supreme Court (or sometimes District). They are expensive, time consuming and stressful, and it is unlikely an older party has either the financial or emotional resources to commence proceedings.
8. As such, the current law raises serious access to justice issues.

Possible Solutions

1. Preventative measures: education, provision of standard form agreements having contractual force. Encouraging parties to undertake property-sharing agreements, ie co- housing. These measures may however have an impact on Centrelink payments or tax.
2. The above may be problematic as parties perceive any ‘formal’ arrangement as being ‘distrustful’, and expensive if legal advice is necessary.
3. Possible amendments to property law principles to better protect an older party’s position. For instance, looking at laws concerning indefeasibility, in personam exceptions, caveats, and the granny flat being a registerable interest. This however does not negate the access to justice issues raised in (3) above., and there is tension between the aims of systems such as Torrens with providing relief for an older party in a vulnerable situation.
4. Reforms aimed at providing a new forum whereby disputes can be resolved. The difficulty is providing a forum that has the power to make orders concerning the distribution of property, while keeping proceeding low cost, accessible and informal with adequate support.
   1. Amendments to the FLA enabling disputes to be heard in the Family Court. This could provide a more informal environment and could include compulsory ADR before coming before a judge. The advantages are the expertise of family court judges in property disputes. Disadvantages include the jurisdiction of the Commonwealth to legislate in this area (external affairs power?). The expense associated with proceedings, and the complex nature of commencement of proceedings. Therefore although the forum may be more desirable than the Equity division of the Supreme Court, there may still be access to justice issues to overcome. A further issue may be if proceedings become too complicated, the family Court may refer to issue to the Equity Division of the Supreme Court.
   2. Amendments to State legislation to include an ‘Elder’ division within the current ‘super tribunal’ system (Civil and Administrative Tribunals). The tribunals operate with minimal formality and expense, and specialist panels comprising of legally trained personnel and laypeople could be constituted to resolve disputes.

## Appointed decision-makers

#### Question 29      What evidence is there of elder abuse committed by people acting as appointed decision-makers under instruments such as powers of attorney? How might this type of abuse be prevented and redressed?

Tribunal members in the guardianship and administration jurisdictions are familiar with cases where powers of attorney are abused. There are numerous issues for prevention including methods of detecting abuse of a power and effective remedies once assets have been dissipated. There does not appear to be any shortage of laws that make abuse unlawful and provide civil remedies. Prevention requires a combination of education, deterrence and investigatory and remedial powers of government agencies who can take action on behalf of an elderly person (such as the Public Advocate) and civil claims and criminal penalties for abuse of powers.

As there are currently no mechanisms for registering or auditing powers of attorney or other substitute decision making powers, it is not possible to know the extent of this form of abuse.  Reported cases may form the ‘tip of the iceberg’ of elder abuse, especially financial abuse.[[42]](#footnote-42)  This concern about attorneys has been raised by Alzheimer’s NSW who reported:

*“[A] considerable proportion of financial abuse of people with dementia is perpetrated by people appointed as an attorney under an Enduring Power of Attorney (EPOA) not acting in the interests of the person with dementia. Another enabler of financial abuse is the failure of some lawyers to assess the capacity of an individual to appoint a new EPOA.”*[[43]](#footnote-43)

This issue was recently investigated by ARNLA member Ms Lise Barry who has conducted research into the role of lawyers in witnessing powers of attorney and enduring Guardianship appointment in NSW.[[44]](#footnote-44)This research examined complaints about 36 lawyers over a two year period, from 2011 to 2013, the majority involving preparing or witnessing powers of attorney or enduring guardianship.  Under the law as it currently stands in Australia, lawyers can only accept instructions from a person who is competent to provide them.[[45]](#footnote-45) In these complaints, the most common allegation was that the lawyer had not properly assessed the legal capacity of the older person. The complainant commonly alleged that a lawyer had taken instructions from an older person who was being manipulated into making a power of attorney, enduring guardianship or changes to a will to advantage another sibling financially or in some other way. However, the OLSC has no powers to investigate the actions of an Attorney and it is not possible to know whether this abuse was occurring or to calculate the extent of the abuse. Allegations within the files include claims of misappropriation of property worth millions of dollars, through to theft of cash or savings.

It is however possible to take action to better educate professionals witnessing these instruments. While there are guidelines for lawyers in NSW to follow when preparing these instruments, it is clear that not all lawyers are aware of the guidelines and there is no mandatory requirement that they be followed.  No lawyer in NSW has been prosecuted for unsatisfactory professional conduct for a failure to follow Capacity Guidelines, although in Queensland, where there are fewer lawyers,[[46]](#footnote-46) there have been five such prosecutions.[[47]](#footnote-47)  Consistent guidelines throughout Australia and a consistent approach to lawyers who fail to follow these guidelines, may go some way toward preventing abuse.

**Addressing Family Conflict linked to abuse allegations**

A striking feature of the OLSC Capacity Complaints was the associated family conflict that was generated in these situations.  Family conflict is linked to abuse in a number of ways.  Firstly conflict can mask abuse. Conflict can drive families apart and can isolate older people from protective relationships with people who may be able to identify and report instances of physical, financial and emotional abuse.  Studies have also demonstrated that family conflict can impact on the amount and type of care provided to older people.[[48]](#footnote-48)

Conflict also affects the decision making capacity of older people with a cognitive impairment and thus renders them more vulnerable to abuse.[[49]](#footnote-49)

The primary international human rights instrument relating to the right of older people with a cognitive impairment to exercise their legal decision making capacity is the *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities* (CRPD).[[50]](#footnote-50)Under the *CRPD*, people under a disability require the provision of reasonable accommodations to exercise their legal capacity. [[51]](#footnote-51)

Where family conflict is identified as a factor impairing the decision making of older people with a cognitive impairment, a reasonable accommodation should be provided in the form of family mediation, restorative justice, and family therapy or conflict resolution services.  There was no evidence on the OLSC complaints files of accommodations being provided by lawyers in the form of referral to conflict resolution services.  There was some evidence of mediation being offered to families in the NSW Guardianship Tribunal, however this was very limited and the timeframes for those mediations that were referred to in the court documents that formed part of the complaints, was very short.

The *CRPD* also requires State parties to provide appropriate safeguards for persons under a disability.  These safeguards should include education and awareness raising about elder abuse for any person authorised to witness or approve of substitute decision making powers such as an Enduring Power of Attorney.  In NSW for instance, this would include Australian legal practitioners, overseas registered foreign lawyers, Local Court Registrars, employees of NSW Trustee & Guardian or the Office of the Public Guardian.  Similarly members of State-based Tribunals who play a role in appointing legal guardians for older people should be required to receive training and education in identifying and responding to elder abuse.  Medical practitioners who may provide supporting documentation to attest to an older person’s capacity for a legal decision, should also be trained to identify and respond to abuse.

**General points about substitute decision making instruments**

This response is concerned with those decision-making instruments and appointed decision-makers which relate to decisions about a *person’s health and lifestyle interests*.

A key issue which needs to be confronted here is that there is no national scheme for decision-making for those who lack legal capacity to make decisions about their health care or broader lifestyle decisions. While in several jurisdictions there exist both the powers for persons to appoint enduring guardians and to complete statutory advance care/health directives, there are significant variations in terminology, and in the scope of the relevant powers.[[52]](#footnote-52) In NSW there is no capacity to execute a statutory advance care directive and in the NT it is not possible for a person to appoint an enduring guardian. In all jurisdictions the relevant state administrative tribunal can appoint substitute decision-makers (guardians) to make health care and lifestyle decisions for another person once that person is assessed as having lost capacity, but again the scope of the powers varies and the ‘trigger’ for the appointment of the guardian also differs across jurisdictions.

These issues of difference and variability must be considered in addressing these two questions.

It must also be considered that the basis for decision-making by substituted decision-makers also differs. In South Australia and the ACT a substituted judgment approach is adopted under the relevant legislation[[53]](#footnote-53), while in most other jurisdictions the basis for decision-making is that of ‘best interests’. While the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities has prompted a review of the basis of decision-making by substitute decision-makers in several jurisdictions[[54]](#footnote-54), this is an issue which particularly bears on an answer to question 31.

#### Question 30: Should powers of attorney and other decision-making instruments be required to be registered?

Registration of decision-making instruments does have a number of advantages. It provides proof and transparency by maintaining a record and may well work protectively.

There are also disadvantages of having a registration system. It may deter people from creating an instrument if it has to go onto a public record. It may deter potential donees of decision making powers. It may deny protection to donors and donees if an instrument is created, and relied upon, but not registered.

A register that creates a rebuttable presumption of decision making power would be preferable to one that is conclusive by registration. Otherwise the register itself might become an instrument of abuse.

There would be a cost of maintaining a register. A registration fee may deter people from creating and/or registering their instruments and in doing so disadvantage an elderly person who would otherwise make an appointment.

Any person appointed by a state/territory tribunal is on a register and can be held to account for decisions made under guardianship legislation. This is also the case for those appointed as enduring guardians[[55]](#footnote-55). It is submitted that suspected physical and sexual abuse by carers and relatives can be dealt with by other mechanisms including mandatory reporting and the imposition of a duty of care in criminal law (see below).

***Question 31: Should the statutory duties of attorneys and other appointed decision-makers be expanded to given them a greater role in protecting older people from abuse?***

Incorporating a specific statutory responsibility on substituted decision-makers to report suspicion of abuse by others (within existing statements of guardians’ responsibilities) could assist in protecting elders from abuse, but this would then represent a specification of duties which may be counterproductive; the listing of specific responsibilities and duties may deter persons from becoming guardians. It is submitted that a better option is to provide systematic training to substituted decision-makers on how to make ‘in the moment’ decisions for the older person should be introduced. This is particularly important in relation to medical decisions toward the end of life.[[56]](#footnote-56) In this respect the older person’s interests will be better protected and decisions made about health care treatment and lifestyle decisions will be more likely to reflect the older person’s preferences, and therefore their dignity rights. The development of guidelines to support substitute decision-makers can assist in achieving this outcome. It is suggested that the decision-making principles set out in the ALRC report ‘Equal recognition before the law and legal capacity for people with disability’ (2014) could underpin the development of more specific guidance.

As one Queensland study identified, there is an opportunity to educate attorneys about their role through better design of the forms and guidelines and improved education at the time of witnessing the documents.[[57]](#footnote-57)

In Professor Carroll’s experience as a tribunal member in the guardianship and administration jurisdiction, most guardians and administrators carry out their functions well with little assistance from the state. There are cases where through incompetence or ill-intent guardians and administrators commit elder abuse. It seems it is not an absence of legal duties or legal consequences of abuse that need to be tackled. It is prevention, detection and powers of investigation and prosecution that present the greatest difficulty.

***Question 34: Should adult protection legislation be introduced to assist in identifying and responding to elder abuse?***

There is merit in considering adult protection legislation if this creates an agency that is able to monitor, investigate and commence appropriate proceedings to protect a vulnerable older person who is not a person for whom a guardianship or administration order can be made. It seems there are adequate civil and criminal laws to provide remedies and sanctions for many forms of abuse. What is needed is a level of support by a state agency for cases where a civil action may be pointless (because assets have been dissipated) or would have an anti-therapeutic effect on the older person in view of their family and personal relationships. A similar situation may exist for criminal proceedings.

***Question 35: How can the role that health professionals play in identifying and responding to elder abuse be improved?***

Health professionals may suspect physical or emotional abuse in their treatment of their older patients, or in their role as accident and emergency health professionals.

Better identifying situations of abuse may be improved by educating health professionals on the sorts of questions to ask older persons who present to them, given that an older person may be reticent to identify the abuser because of factors such as feelings of shame and dependency on the abuser.

Better responding to abuse may be effected by the introduction of a statutory duty to inform authorities where there is suspicion of sexual or physical abuse. Most jurisdictions already have laws which require various professionals, including health professionals, to report suspected sexual and/or physical abuse of children.[[58]](#footnote-58) Failure to do so constitutes a criminal offence. Mandatory reporting attracting criminal penalties should be restricted to those situations which involve the most vulnerable in society or pose a significant threat to public health. While there is no question that many older adults are vulnerable to abuse of various sorts, introducing such mandatory reporting in relation to older persons poses the question of the scope of the requirement. For example, the inclusion of emotional abuse (commonly experienced by older persons), may be difficult to enforce, as the evidence may present ambiguously.

***Question 38: What changes should be made to laws and legal frameworks, such as privacy laws, to enable hospitals to better identify and respond to elder abuse?***

The introduction of mandatory reporting (above) may assist in better responding to situations where there is a suspicion of abuse.

The network of privacy legislation in complicated. The existence and scope of state and territory legislation varies significantly[[59]](#footnote-59). The Commonwealth legislation only applies to Commonwealth institutions and private health care providers. Under the Australian Privacy Principles personal information about an individual who is physically or legally incapable of giving consent can be disclosed to a ‘responsible person’ if ‘the disclosure is necessary to provide appropriate care or treatment of the individual’[[60]](#footnote-60). This may be utilised then by a carer of an older person in relation to suspected abuse by a 3rd party. It is also possible under Part 3 of the APPs permits the release of personal information where it is believed there is ‘a serious and imminent threat to an individual’s life, health or safety’. Conceivably this exception may be relied upon by a health professional in releasing information about an older person where there is suspicion of abuse by a carer, or another person. This exception is reflected in the equitable duty of confidence; in that context the information released about an individual has been done to protect other individual(s) from harm. It is not clear whether this exception could be utilised to protect the person to whom the information pertains. There are a number of uncertainties associated with the scope of health care information protection under the new APPs, and, given the complexity associated with the State and Territory regimes, it is suggested that the preferable option for law reform is to consider the introduction of mandatory reporting.

**Question 39**

Civil and administrative tribunals have a limited ability to respond to allegations and evidence of elder abuse due to the limited jurisdiction that is conferred by existing legislation. If there were legislation, for example adult protection legislation, then there are some proceedings and orders that would be suitable to these tribunals.

There are reasons why these tribunals can be well suited to dealing with disputes arising between family members and the elderly. This is where tribunal members and processes are designed for and knowledgeable of family conflict, family dynamics and the scope for less restrictive alternatives than tribunal orders in appropriate cases. For a discussion of features of Australian tribunals exercising jurisdiction in guardianship and administration matters and enduring powers of attorney and guardianship see Carroll and Smith, ‘Mediation in Guardianship Proceedings for the Elderly: An Australian Perspective’[[61]](#footnote-61)

The advantage of using tribunal processes is the powers invested in these bodies to obtain evidence and make orders. This is premised, of course, on the tribunal being invested with jurisdiction (original or by way of administrative review) to determine matters where elder abuse may arise as an issue or an allegation and make orders and impose sanction.

***Question 40: How can the physical design and procedural requirements of courts and tribunals be improved to provide better access to forums to respond to elder abuse?***

There are a range of considerations that need to be given to elderly people to ensure that the forum in which elder abuse concerns are dealt with do not compound the abuse. These are familiar to tribunals and boards exercising guardianship jurisdiction, and include separate hearing rooms, hearing loops and access for people with physical disabilities.

**Question 41: What alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are available to respond to elder abuse? How should they be improved?**

There is a need for further research into the circumstances in which mediation is used to resolve disputes when there are concerns about or allegations of elder abuse. There are a number of concerns that arise when mediation and other forms of alternative dispute resolution, including restorative justice processes are used is cases were abusive conduct has or may have occurred. There are a number of process design features and interventions that may mean that ADR processes can safely be used, but further work in needed to develop and test these models.[[62]](#footnote-62) In many cases ADR will not be an appropriate process. It is important to distinguish between circumstances in which an elderly person would be in mediation with their abuser and those where the elderly person is unwilling or incapable of participating in the process.[[63]](#footnote-63)

Most importantly there is a need for a model of legal representation of an elderly person that takes account of the impact on an elderly person of legal proceedings against a family member or close friend or carer. Legal proceedings can detrimentally affect the health of the elderly person and their relationship with others.

***Question 42: In what ways should criminal laws be improved to respond to elder abuse? For example should there be offences specifically concerning elder abuse?***

Mandatory reporting represents a criminal offence where that requirement is breached (see above).

A further option for criminal law reform is the creation of a specific statutory provision which creates a duty to act in cases where a person has assumed responsibility for the care of an older person, or is in a particular familial relationship to an older person.

There is a rich ethical discourse surrounding the issues which arise in connection with imposing criminal liability for omissions, or failures to act, as opposed to those more commonly criminalised situations where the accused acts and inflicts harm. An ‘omission’ refers not to simply the ‘absence of physical movement’ but is a contextual judgment made over a period which may in fact include ‘acts’.[[64]](#footnote-64)

The Codes (WA and Qld) are notable for their explicit reference to specific duties associated with the ‘Preservation of Life’. These are found in the following sections: WA (ss 262-267) and Qu (ss 285-290) Criminal Codes. There are differences between the two jurisdictions, but they are similar in that they present a way to construct criminal liability, and are not tied to specific offences as such, although are limited to those associated with ‘consequences which result to the life of health’ of a person. These duties include a duty to provide the necessaries of life where one person has the ‘charge’ of another who is unable to remove him/herself from such charge; and duties arising from occupying the ‘head of the family’ or as a parent.

In common law, the main decision in R v TakTak (1988, NSW) quoted from the earlier decision in Gibbins and Proctor (1919, Eng), in outlining the situations in which a duty arises in criminal law:

‘There are at least four situations where the failure to act may constitute the breach of a legal duty. One may be held criminally liable: first, where a statute imposes a duty to care for another; second, where one stands in a certain status relationship to another; third, where one has assumed a contractual duty to care for another; and fourth, where one has voluntarily assumed the care of another and so secluded the helpless persons as to prevent others from rendering aid.’

The common law duties have almost exclusively been associated with gross negligence manslaughter, unlike the Code (statutory) duties which extend to cover general bodily harm and death. Secondly it appears that under the common law there is a separate requirement to prove a causal link between the breach and the consequence, whereas under the Codes, the breach of the duty deems there to be a causal link to the consequence.

There is little evidence in the case law of the duty sections being invoked in relation to prosecutions concerning the death/injury of older persons as a result of carer/familial abuse. It is certainly possible for a prosecution to be based upon the duty to provide the necessaries of life (which include medical treatment, clothing and housing as well as sustenance)[[65]](#footnote-65) to an older person but under both the Codes and the common law, this would require a situation where the person has assumed the care of the older person and the older person is demonstrated to be incapable of removing him/herself from that care. Most of the case law with respect to this duty has been associated with the failure to care for children[[66]](#footnote-66), and it may well be harder for older persons to ‘fit’ within the scope of this duty, particularly if they retain mental and/or physical capacity.

The familial duties under the Code and at common law again have tended to centre on the protection of children from criminal neglect.

It is suggested that introducing a specific criminal statutory duty on those who have the care of older persons may be an effective way to *penalise* the abuse of older persons, where that has resulted in physical and psychological harm. It opens the possibility of avoiding the need to prove causation as a separate element, which is particularly helpful in those cases where the harm is associated with neglect rather than positive violence. Criminal law does aim to deter certain behaviours; and if such a change in the law is associated with increasing community awareness of the need to be proactive in relation to the protection of older persons, then this could well contribute towards the *prevention* of abuse.

#### Question 49: What role might restorative justice processes play in responding to elder abuse?

There is merit in developing processes with adequate safeguards that offer the opportunity of restoring the relationship between and elderly person who has been the victim of abuse with their abuser.

One possible model would be victim/offender conferences similar to Youth Justice Conferences that are run under the *Young Offenders Act* 1987 (NSW).  This model of conferencing can accommodate some of the dynamics of elder abuse, including a desire for the acknowledgement of a crime and the harm that ensues, the impact of the crime on the victim’s family and the wider community, the making of personal reparation and monitoring of the outcome.

One trial of a restorative project in Canada rejected the involvement of Police in dealing with elder abuse because of fears that this would be adversarial and confrontational.  In NSW, specialist Police are trained to refer and work with young offenders and play a constructive role in restorative conferences.  Specialist officers could be trained to deal with victims and offenders in elder abuse cases including making referrals to restorative conferences.  The advantage of Police involvement is that this can act as recognition that the harm done is not only personal and private harm, but a societal harm that requires a State response.

Research into elder abuse regularly acknowledges that victims may be reluctant to pursue charges in court because of the personal relationship with the perpetrator and/or a continued reliance on their care. A victim/offender conference in these circumstances may provide a suitable alternative to Court, but would not be appropriate in all situations.

#### Question 50: What role might civil penalties play in responding to elder abuse?

Civil penalties may be appropriate for institutions who engage in abusive behavior. It is assumed that the civil remedies already available in law and criminal penalties are appropriate for individual abusers. If there is a concern that the usual civil and criminal consequences of elder abuse are insufficient to deter abusive behaviour then attention needs to be given to introducing a broader range of unlawful conduct rather than creating civil penalties for individuals.

## Appendix 1: Charter of Rights and Freedoms of Older Persons

Every human, by virtue of their humanity, has fundamental rights and freedoms. As humans, we are entitled to enjoy, and have respected by others, those rights for the entirety of our lives. Human rights do not fluctuate or wane as we age, but policy interventions may be required to enable older persons to fully enjoy and realise their basic human rights, as well as ensure that others continue to respect the rights of older persons.

However, in order to combat ageism and adequately prepare for the needs of an ageing population, a rights based approach to care services and policies around ageing is necessary. Until such time as a new convention on the rights of older persons is adopted at the international level, Australians must work with the existing international human rights instruments, adopting a progressive approach to their interpretation in seeking to protect and promote the rights and freedoms of older persons. The following Charter has been drafted with this objective in mind.

The Charter contains rights and freedoms that are drawn from the principal international instruments concerning older persons – the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), Covenant on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) and the UN Principles for Older Persons. In this respect, the Charter replicates existing rights already contained in binding treaties or in declaratory instruments which further articulate the rights of older persons (such as the UN Principles). However, the Charter’s rights also include specific mention of rights not currently recognised in international treaties – lifelong learning, the freedom to choose the time of one’s retirement from work, the right to be presumed as having full decision-making capacity, the right to make personal decisions for as long as possible and to receive support in making decisions, the right to choose one’s preferred place of residence, the right to access an appropriate level of humane and secure residential care, the right to palliative care, and a dignified death. In these respects, the rights represent a progressive development on the existing international human rights instruments which bind nation-states. However, these rights are already reflected in many non-binding instruments, can be linked to existing rights already protected (such as the right to palliative care being one aspect of the right to adequate health care), and have been recognised by the United Nations Office of the High Commission for Human Rights as needing specific recognition and protection under international law. [1]

Few human rights are absolute or non-derogable (torture is an exception); most are able to be limited or derogated from where such limitation or derogation can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society. This will generally require, first, that the offensive law, policy, decision or action has been developed or made for a legitimate purpose that is consistent with a free and democratic society and, secondly, that the means used to pursue that purpose are proportionate to the end being sought. Human rights are also recognised as inhering in every human being; thus, with human rights also comes the responsibility of recognising and respecting the rights of other human beings.

## Part I Dignity and Self-Determination

1.       Older persons have the right to be treated with dignity and humanity and to be free to exercise personal self-determination*.* ***[2]***This includes the right to be presumed as having full decision-making capacity unless otherwise determined in accordance with law, the right to make decisions regarding their present and future circumstances, and to be supported to make decisions if they have difficulty in doing so*.* [3]

2.       Older persons have the right to freedom of movement, to choose their preferred place of residence and to access transport and mobility services which enable them to exercise their human rights. These rights shall only be restricted in accordance with law, where such restriction is necessary to protect public health, public order or morals, and the rights and freedoms of others. [4]

## Part 2 Liberty and Security of the Person & Property

3.       Older persons have the right to be free from torture or other forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment.[5]

4.       Older persons have the right to liberty and security of the person and to be free from exploitation and physical, social, psychological and sexual abuse. No person shall be deprived of their liberty, including by forced or involuntary institutionalisation, except in accordance with procedures established by law.[6]

5.       Older persons have the right to own property, the right to exercise self-determination with respect to that property, and the right not be arbitrarily or unlawfully deprived of their property.[7]

## Part 3 Equality, Non-Discrimination & Legal Rights

6.       Older persons have the right to exercise their rights free from all forms of discrimination, whether on the basis of age, sex, colour, sexual orientation, religion, political opinion, educational qualification, national origin, ethnicity or disability.[8]

7.       Older persons have the right to recognition as a person before the law and to be treated equally before the law.[9]

8.       Older persons have the right, both in criminal and civil proceedings, to a fair trial before a competent, independent and impartial tribunal.[10]

9.       Older persons are entitled to access and seek remedies for breaches of their rights, including when they occur in institutional settings.[11]

## Part 4 Minimum Standards of Living and Care

10.     Older persons have the right to life, to adequate food, water, clothing, shelter and sanitation and, to enjoy the highest attainable standards of physical and mental health.[12] This includes the right to palliative care in order to preserve the best possible quality of life until death,[13] and the right to a dignified death.[14]

11.     All older persons have the right to social security[15] and to access an appropriate level of humane and secure institutional care, where the fundamental rights and freedoms of residents are recognised and protected.[16]

## Part 5 Privacy and Family

12.     Older persons have the right to be free from arbitrary or unlawful interferences with his/her privacy, family, home or correspondence,[17] and respect for a person’s privacy must be maintained in institutional settings.

13.     Older persons have the right to a family life, to marry and enjoy intimate relationships, and to have their family unit and personal relationships respected by others, including government agencies and officials and the operators and managers of residential care facilities.[18]

## Part 6 Social, Economic & Political Participation

14.     Older persons have the right to freely associate with others and to participate fully in the social and cultural life of their community.[19] This may include participation in voluntary work both before and following retirement, as well as the formation of, and engagement in, movements or associations of older persons.[20]

15.     Older persons have the right to engage in work until such time as they choose to leave the workforce, to receive fair wages and equal remuneration for equal work and to work in safe and healthy working conditions.[21]

16.     Older persons have the right to lifelong learning, to access and participate in education and training programs and to access the scientific, cultural, educational and spiritual resources of the community.[22]

17.     Older persons have the right to take part in the conduct of public affairs, to vote, to stand for election and to be elected to parliament, and to have access, on equal terms, to public service.[23]

## Part 7 Freedom of Thought, Conscience, Religion and Expression

18.     Older persons have the right to exercise freedom of thought, conscience and religion.[24]

19.     Older persons have the right to freedom of opinion and expression and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas, particularly in respect of policies which affect their wellbeing or interests.

20.     Older persons also have the right to seek, and be provided with, personal information about him/herself held by government agencies of officials.[25]

[1]           Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, *Normative Standards in International Human Rights Law in Relation to Older persons, Analytical Outcome Paper*, August 2012, available at, <http://social.un.org/ageing-working-group/thirdsession.shtml> (accessed 2 July 2013).

[2]           Articles 1 & 10, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR); Article 1, International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR); Principles 3, 14, 15, UN Principles for Older Persons; Articles 3 & 12 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD).

[3]           Sections 10(c)  and (d), *Advance Care Directives Act 2013* (SA).

[4]           Article 12, ICCPR; Principle 6, UN Principles for Older Persons.

[5]           Article 7, ICCPR; CAT; Principle 17, UN Principles for Older Persons; Article 15 CRPD.

[6]           Article 9, ICCPR; Article 12, ICESCR; Principle 17, UN Principles for; Article 16 CRPD.

[7]           Article 17, Universal Declaration of Human Rights; Articles 1, ICCPR and ICESCR; Article 12 CRPD.

[8]           Article 2, ICCPR; Article 2, ICESCR; Principle 18, UN Principles for, Article 5, CRPD.

[9]           Articles 16 & 26, ICCPR; Principle 12, UN Principles for Article 12 CRPD.

[10]          Article 14, ICCPR.

[11]          Articles 2 & 14, ICCPR; Article 2, ICESCR; Principle 12, UN Principles for.

[12]          Article 6, ICCPR; Articles 11 & 12, ICESCR; Principles 1, 10-13, UN Principles for; Article 25 CRPD.

[13]          See, *The Prague Charter: Relieving Suffering*, available at: <http://wwww.avaaz.org/en> (accessed 13 August 2013).

[14]          See, *Draft Strategy for Safeguarding Older South Australians 2014-2021*, available at:[http://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au](http://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/public+content/sa+health+internet/about+us/reviews+and+consultation/draft+south+australian+strategy+for+safeguarding+older+people+2014-2021), (accessed 13 August 2013).

[15]          Article 9, ICESCR; Article 18 CRPD.

[16]          Principles 13 & 14, UN Principles for.

[17]          Article 17, ICCPR; Principles 5, 10, 17, UN Principles for; Article 31 CRPD.

[18]          Article 23, ICCPR; Article 10, ICESCR; Principles 10, 5, UN Principles for.

[19]          Article 25, ICCPR; Article 15, ICESCR; Principles 7, 8, & 9, UN Principles for; Article 29 CRPD.

[20]          Principle 9, UN Principles for.

[21]          Articles 6 & 7, ICESCR; Principles 2 & 3, UN Principles for; Article 27 CRPD.

[22]          Articles 13 & 15, ICESCR; Principles, 4, 15 & 16, UN Principles for; Article 24 CRPD.

[23]          Article 25, ICCPR; Article 29 CRPD.

[24]          Article 18, ICCPR.

[25]          Article 19, ICCPR; Principles 4, 15, 16, UN Principles for. This right is also supported by Freedom of Information legislation throughout Australia.
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