409. M Anderson

Full name: Mark Anderson

Proposal 4-1:

I oppose this proposal. It would mean creators would have their rights reduced and remuneration for their intellectual property cut significantly. Current fair use definitions should remain.

Proposal 4-2:

Fair use should be clearly defined and limited.

Proposal 4-3:

Current arrangements should remain in place.

Proposal 4-4:

The current conditions should remain in place.

Question 4-1:

nil

Question 4-2:

nil

Proposal 6-1:

Opposed

Question 6-1:

The current system should not be changed.

Proposal 7-1:

The current conditions should remain

Proposal 7-2:

none

Proposal 7-3:

no

Proposal 7-4:

no

Proposal 8-1:

no

Proposal 8-2:

no

Proposal 8-3:

there should be no change

Proposal 9-1:

Proposal 9-2:

no

Proposal 9-3:

no

Proposal 9-4:

no

Proposal 9-5:

no

Proposal 10-1:

no

Proposal 10-2:

no

Proposal 10-3:

no

Proposal 11-1:

no

Proposal 11-2:

no

Proposal 11-3:

no

Question 11-1:

no

Proposal 11-4:

yes

Proposal 11-5:

yes to all

Proposal 11-6:

no

Proposal 11-7:

yes

Proposal 12-1:

no

Proposal 12-2:

a yes,  b no

Proposal 12-3:

yes

Proposal 13-1:

no

Proposal 13-2:

no. current arrangement should remain

Proposal 13-3:

NO

Proposal 14-1:

NO

Proposal 14-2:

NO

Proposal 14-3:

a yes, b no

Proposal 15-1:

no opinion

Proposal 15-2:

na

Question 15-1:

should not be repealed

Proposal 15-3:

no internet exclusions

Question 15-2:

no opinion

Proposal 16-1:

no opinion

Question 16-1:

no opinion

Proposal 16-2:

no

Question 16-2:

no

Question 16-3:

no

Proposal 17-1:

no

Additional comments?:

The concept that we are in the digital age, therefore copyright protection and creator rights should be reduced is the same as saying we are in a global economy, therefore it is ok to have the working conditions found in Bangladesh which had such tragic results.

File 1:

File 2: