

35. F Johnson

21 June 2012

Full name: Frank Johnson

Name of organisation: Australian Active Doctors Association Incorporated

Question 1:

Question 2:

Question 3:

Question 4:

Question 5:

Question 6:

Question 7:

Question 8:

Question 9:

Question 10:

Question 11:

Question 12:

Question 13:

Question 14:

Question 15:

Question 16:

Question 17:

Question 18:

Question 19:

Question 20:

Question 21:

Question 22:

Question 23:

Question 24:

Question 25:

Question 26:

Question 27:

Question 28:

Question 29:

Question 30:

Question 31:

Question 32:

Question 33:

Question 34:

Question 35:

Question 36:

Question 37:

Question 38:

Question 39:

Australian Senior Active Doctors Association Incorporated (ASADA) was incorporated in New South Wales on 15 May 2012. Incorporation No. INC9897303. ASADA welcomes the opportunity to make a public submission to the ALRC Issues paper 41.

The National Registration and Accreditation system set up in 2009-10, under The Health Practitioner National Law act 2009, created a registration category for 1800 senior doctors, entitled Limited Registration Public Interest Occasional Practice (LRPIOP). Legislation has determined that this category will be abolished on 1 July 2013; it was closed to new entrants from 1 July 2010. Effectively, only doctors in NSW, Queensland, Tasmania and the ACT were ‘grandfathered‘ into the new scheme, retaining their limited privileges to prescribe and refer, and undertake other pro bono medical activities (such a services to community groups), drawing on professional expertise.

Senior doctors from the other states and territories were excluded from this limited category in September 2010, although it had formerly existed in these jurisdictions. As of 1 July 2013, this group of 1800 doctors will also no longer be able to participate effectively in the

profession, in a category similar to the long-standing one that has proven useful to the community as well as safe.

It has been estimated that significant cost savings to Medicare have arisen from the ability of senior doctors to issue repeat prescriptions and referrals for ongoing care of established medical conditions. Such practice also helps alleviate pressures on general medical practice, which recent medical and general press has suggested contribute to nationwide overcrowding of Emergency Departments with patients with acute illnesses that could be managed by general Practitioners but who are unable to obtain timely GP appointments. In addition, senior doctors could provide a valuable resource in the event of national emergency.

Doctors registered in LRPIOP would continue to be subject to all the safeguards inherent in the legislation for a registered doctor, including medical indemnity, continuing professional development (both at a level commensurate with the category), mandatory reporting, and disciplinary procedures.

The LRPIOP category provides an appropriate transition to full retirement from the profession. Its continuation should be an essential element of any progressive policy which takes account of the way professionals work, and will provide for dignified exit from professional life.

AHPRA asserts that there is no impediment to senior doctors remaining on the medical register. However, once retired from active practice, it is impossible to meet the mandatory 'recency' of practice requirement, and this automatically excludes a doctor from continuing registration. Once off the medical register, it becomes impossible to obtain medical indemnity from any insurer, thus precluding any pro bono professional community contribution. Even lecturing to community groups on medical matters is precluded. Under the legislation, use of the title 'doctor' is permitted in retirement, although there have been cautions about 'misrepresentation'.

Australia should use its senior doctors and their knowledge in a productive way, as has occurred formerly. Bureaucratic systems should not work against stated public policy of all political parties, which encourages older Australians to remain engaged in the workforce. Senior doctors are well placed to continue to contribute positively to the health and welfare of the community.

ASADA understands that this group of doctors has generated the lowest rate of referrals to Medical Boards or insurers, so it is hard to argue that this change has been necessary to protect the public.

It is difficult to avoid the conclusion that The Health Practitioner National Law Act 2009, and its enactment by AHPRA, reflects both current ageist attitudes in sections of the broader community, as well as evolving inter-generational tensions.

ASADA urges ALRC to find that the category of LRPIOP should be retained and opened to doctors who were excluded from this category on 1 July 2010 when they left the general register. ASADA considers that denying senior doctors that category is ageist and creates a barrier to active participation in the work force by senior doctors.

Question 40:

Question 41:

Question 42:

Question 43:

Question 44:

Question 45:

Question 46:

Question 47:

Question 48:

Question 49:

Question 50:

Question 51:

Question 52:

Question 53:

Question 54:

Question 55: