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Freedom of Speech | Question 2–1

Freedom of Movement, Right to Enjoyment of Property et al

This is very relevant pertaining to ARPANSA's radiation standard RPS 3 and maximum limits (which do not protect EHS sufferers or children, or anyone else in the long term) are set under the federal Radiocommunications Act and the human exposure standard.  The Telecommunications Act is also one to consider, the way it has allowed mobile masts to be placed anywhere. Also, the NBN Co act which is allowing fixed wireless.

It is clear the Radiocommunications Act and wireless radiation exposure limits made under it are leading to the use and development of technologies that encroach on our right to freedom of movement, our right to enjoyment of our property, amongst other rights (see more in the issues paper) and also, ARPANSA has tried to shift the burden of proof onto us - to show that wireless is harmful - when the burden of proof should be on industry and government to show that NBN fixed wireless, smart meters, mobile phones, mobile phone masts, and Wi-Fi in schools is safe.

Question 2–2

ARPANSA's radiation standard RPS 3 and maximum limits (which do not protect EHS sufferers or children, or anyone else in the long term) are set under the federal Radiocommunications Act and the human exposure standard.  The Telecommunications Act is also one to consider, the way it has allowed mobile masts to be placed anywhere. Also, the NBN Co act which is allowing fixed wireless. These laws interfere with freedom of movement and therfore freedom of speech. People who suffer from the effects of microwave radiation poisioning cannot be near mobile masts, WIFI or any microwave radiation emitting device. This means that people are either forced to live in shielded homes and unable to exercise freedom of movement that is a precondition of free speech. How can you speak freely is you cannot leave your home?

Freedom of Religion | Question 3–1

Question 3–2

Freedom of Association | Question 4–1

ARPANSA's radiation standard RPS 3 and maximum limits (which do not protect EHS sufferers or children, or anyone else in the long term) are set under the federal Radiocommunications Act and the human exposure standard.  The Telecommunications Act is also one to consider, the way it has allowed mobile masts to be placed anywhere. Also, the NBN Co act which is allowing fixed wireless. These laws interfere with freedom of movement and therfore freedom of speech. People who suffer from the effects of microwave radiation poisioning cannot be near mobile masts, WIFI or any microwave radiation emitting device. This means that people are either forced to live in shielded homes and unable to freely associate as increaslingly public and private spaces are being heavily radiated, making it impossible for freedom of association.

Question 4–2

ARPANSA's radiation standard RPS 3 and maximum limits (which do not protect EHS sufferers or children, or anyone else in the long term) are set under the federal Radiocommunications Act and the human exposure standard.  The Telecommunications Act is also one to consider, the way it has allowed mobile masts to be placed anywhere. Also, the NBN Co act which is allowing fixed wireless. These laws interfere with freedom of movement and therfore freedom of speech. People who suffer from the effects of microwave radiation poisioning cannot be near mobile masts, WIFI or any microwave radiation emitting device. This means that people are either forced to live in shielded homes and unable to freely associate as increaslingly public and private spaces are being heavily radiated, making it impossible for freedom of association.

Unfettered rollout by utilities under these Acts are unjustified as they are encroaching on the Freedom of association of people who suffer from Microwave radiation poisoning.

Freedom of Movement | Question 5–1

Australia is rapidly becoming like Europe where more that 3% of people (and the number is increasing daily) suffer from microwave radiation poisoning. These people and I am one of them in Australia, have been poisoned by the impact of mobile phone tower and mobile phone radiation, WIFI and DECT phones, and Smart Meters.  We have had our Freedom of Movement severely impacted by the proliferation of this radiation under the Commonwealth Acts listed. We are becoming refugees in our own country because of masts, smart towers, NBN Wireless, smart meters and so on, having to move around to find safe accommodation and not being able to protect ourselves and our families in the general public environment including shopping centres, schools, parks beaches and spaces where towers and masts are everywhere..

**The general principal is that no public (or private environment) should be subject to a level of microwave radiation known to cause any Biological Harm to humans**.

Question 5–2

ARPANSA's radiation standard RPS 3 and maximum limits (which do not protect EHS sufferers or children, or anyone else in the long term) are set under the federal Radiocommunications Act and the human exposure standard.  The Telecommunications Act is also one to consider, the way it has allowed mobile masts to be placed anywhere. Also, the NBN Co act which is allowing fixed wireless. These laws interfere with freedom of movement and therfore freedom of speech. People who suffer from the effects of microwave radiation poisioning cannot be near mobile masts, WIFI or any microwave radiation emitting device. This means that people are either forced to live in shielded homes and unable to freely associate as increaslingly public and private spaces are being heavily radiated, making it impossible for freedom of association.

Unfettered rollout by utilities under these Acts are unjustified as they are encroaching on the Freedom of Movement of people who suffer from Microwave radiation poisoning.

Property Rights | Question 6–1

**Any law that enables microwave radiation above levels known to cause human beings biological harm to be transmitted into the Property of a person without their express written approval and acknowledgement is unlawful. This interferes with the right of the property owner and all occupants and his or her familyto enjoy their property free of harm.**

Question 6–2

**Any law that enables microwave radiation above levels known to cause human beings biological harm to be transmitted into the Property of a person without their express written approval and acknowledgement is unlawful. This interferes with the right of the property owner and all occupants and his or her familyto enjoy their property free of harm.**

Retrospective Laws | Question 7–1

Question 7–2

Fair Trial | Question 8–1

Question 8–2

Burden of Proof | Question 9–1

**The burden of proof should always be with the provider or supplier of goods or service. That proof must be that there is NO EVIDENCE of biological harm to humans from the microwave radiation emmitting devices.**

**It is unjust to place any burden of proof on the consumer (or innocent member of the public - citizen or bystander) that such harm is caused.**

Question 9–2

ARPANSA's radiation standard RPS 3 and maximum limits (which do not protect EHS sufferers or children, or anyone else in the long term) are set under the federal Radiocommunications Act and the human exposure standard.  Under this Standard and Act ARPANSA tries to shift the burden of proof onto the consumer or citizen or member of the public.The proof burden is that they must demonstrate harm.

Privilege against Self-incrimination | Question 10–1

Question 10–2

Client Legal Privilege | Question 11–1

Question 11–2

Strict and Absolute Liability | Question 12–1

Question 12–2

Appeal from Acquittal | Question 13–1

Question 13–2

Procedural Fairness | Question 14–1

Question 14–2

Delegating Legislative Power | Question 15–1

Question 15–2

Authorising what would otherwise be a Tort | Question 16–1

Question 16–2

Executive Immunities | Question 17–1

Question 17–2

Judicial Review | Question 18–1

Question 18–2

Others Rights, Freedoms and Privilege | Question 19–1

Other comments?

The proliferation of microwave radiation at levels known to cause biological harm causes intergenerational harm by exposing pregnant women and their unborn children to radiation from multiple sources. Science has shown that the child in the womb is born with brain damage when the mother is in a heavily radiated environment. DNA damage occurs to both the mother and child, irreversible infertility also results.

How can any laws be just that enable this type of environmental poison to advance unchecked?
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