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Freedom of Speech | Question 2–1

there should be no internet data retention

Question 2–2

internet data retention must not exist

Freedom of Religion | Question 3–1

Question 3–2

Freedom of Association | Question 4–1

freedom of association is a human right and should not be interfered with - eg communist party case

Question 4–2

being disappeared off the street by ASIO and not being able to tell anyone where you have been. the government in a democracy does not have the power or the right to ruin a person's marriage, job, life

Freedom of Movement | Question 5–1

Question 5–2

Property Rights | Question 6–1

Question 6–2

Retrospective Laws | Question 7–1

retrospective legislation is anathama to our society

Question 7–2

Fair Trial | Question 8–1

the lack of rules of evidence in tribunal hearings is contraty to the rule of law, and the encroachment of these hearings onto legal rights must be abolished

Question 8–2

Burden of Proof | Question 9–1

the burden of proof is on the accuser, including the crown or government, and thus it must be

Question 9–2

Privilege against Self-incrimination | Question 10–1

right to silence when questioned must be a nationally enforced right

Question 10–2

Client Legal Privilege | Question 11–1

Question 11–2

Strict and Absolute Liability | Question 12–1

absolute liability is a police state

Question 12–2

Appeal from Acquittal | Question 13–1

there can be no double jeopardy

Question 13–2

Procedural Fairness | Question 14–1

denial of procedural fairness is never justified. This will be especially important in the National Disability Insurance Scheme. Participants must have full administrative law rights to procedural fairness.

The Convention of the Rights of People with Disabilities must be fully implemented, especially around substitute decision making and civil and political rights. see <http://www.mhcc.org.au/media/8923/sb-socisssdm-pwdmhcc-21-08-09.pdf>

Question 14–2

the National Disability Insurance Scheme is at risk of denying appeal rights. Also the smashing of individual and systemic advicacy in the locally based community sector is unacceptable. Funding is a right, not just what the government prints on paper.

Delegating Legislative Power | Question 15–1

williams v commonwealth is being used as a baseball bat to take to funded organisations. The government needs to do its job and legislate. That is its job, trying to run everything through the executive is not democracy.

Question 15–2

Authorising what would otherwise be a Tort | Question 16–1

Question 16–2

Executive Immunities | Question 17–1

Question 17–2

Judicial Review | Question 18–1

laws should not restrict access to judicial review. Unfortunately, the attack on review rights for refugees is stripping back rights of australian citizens (because the constitution just gives rights to "persons") They are OUR rights and the government should respect them.

Question 18–2

Others Rights, Freedoms and Privilege | Question 19–1

Other comments?

stop data retention