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Proposal 2–1

Yes I agree that a National Approach should cover all States and Territories as too often people can jump States to dodge being caught. In fact our Nation has developed as 6 nations all tied together through States which  can go their own way.This costs huge amounts of resourses when it is time we should have more states  but carrying out the operations on behalf of the National Government.This would provide a better use of the tax payer money instead most goes to the State Capitals.The Rural areas are starved at the expense of the capitals.

Proposal 2–2

Yes of course.

Proposal 3–1

This is ok in the first instance but in some cases it may need Police investigation

Proposal 3–2

That iis provided the principal is capable of making a decision.

Proposal 3–3

I think there can be stand over tactics here and it is essential the guardian is properly trained to carry out the investigation.Yes

Proposal 3–4

Yes but with caution.No not if they are doing the principal a good deed

Proposal 3–5

No not if the person is doing the principal a good deed.

Proposal 5–1

Yes not before time this is important.

Proposal 5–2

Of course this makes good sense.

Proposal 5–3

yes makes sense.

Question 5–1

The family as well as the Enduring Power of Attorney  and the local guardian.

Question 5–2

Within reason

Proposal 5–4

Yes I agree with these

Proposal 5–5

Yes it means they need to pay for being a crook.

Proposal 5–6

Yes

Proposal 5–7

I agree.

Proposal 5–8

I agree all these are important.

Proposal 5–9

Yes this is an essential requirement for anybody.

Proposal 5–10

This is a case where finance is wasted in having 6 or more policies no.

Proposal 5–11

Yes

Proposal 5–12

Yes

Proposal 5–13

Yes.

Proposal 6–1

Yes of course as many Enduring Power recipients have no idea how to manage the principle's finances and this should be a high on the list of duties for these positions.

Question 6–1

Yes I agree

Proposal 6–2

yes

Question 6–2

None

Question 6–3

It is important to hold a Enduring Power Of Attorney for the preferred people while the principal is able to understand the complexity in preparing one.

Proposal 7–1

It would be good if the banks will do this recently myneice had one for my brother and they asked to sign the bank book. However this could mean should the Principal die the person holding the bank Book would immediately get the monet. I believe there should be additional things need to be done so yes

Proposal 7–2

Yes it is not good enough should the person be well known in the bank.

Question 7–1

Therte is no reason to give the Corporate Trustee the job as they charge like wild bulls. In my case I intend to cancel my SMSF before long as I am 86 now and have been  been running myfund for 20 years without trouble. I keep a compehensive accound of all transactions each day they give it to and accountant and he sends it on to an Auditor and compile it all in a final report back o the Trustees.

Question 7–2

Yes you need some firm with expert knowledge of the Government Regulations. I also recive an update of all changes from the Tax and Super Australia.

Proposal 8–1

I am not always realising on States having similar laws and may be the Feds may need to have a share in this.

Question 8–1

I believe the will should say who gets the assets and this means it does not matter what a family consit of.

Proposal 9–1

I worry that if there are too many fingers in the pie people will find will making too expensive however the lawyers shold be competent to make these decisions.

Proposal 9–2

Yes why not.

Proposal 9–3

Of course this is important for the principal to have inserted in the Superannuation Trust Deed when he or she set up a superannuation Fund. I believe there should be a instruction manual with how to set up financial costs and the Guardian should check there everything above board while the person is still alive.

Proposal 10–1

Yes very important.

Proposal 10–2

yes of course.

Proposal 10–3

Yes

Proposal 10–4

Yes but I am not sure they will know this unless every member of Centrelink are trained.

Proposal 11–1

Yes they should because when you hear of what happens in Nursing homes would make your hair stand on end. The problem is that there is never enough trained staff to carry out all the duties within a centre. Their pay is poor and centres pick up people off the street without traing to do the job required. In Towns and Cities there should be a fully trained centre where nursing homes can draw on while staff are away on holidays,sick or being retrained.

Proposal 11–2

Yes it is an Incident which is stronger than an assult.

Proposal 11–3

Yes

Proposal 11–4

Yes it should be done by Federal Police in conjunction with State Police and done yearly without cost to the home.

Proposal 11–5

Yes as people in this profession do move around a lot in some cases every 6 months.

Question 11–1

All incidents should be considered as people at the end of their lives have enough to worry about and can get cranky if a nurse does not come when called and the incumbent soils their  pants and then have to be scrubbed down and changed.

Question 11–2

They should be checked each year as the clearance may have been breached during the year.

Question 11–3

Can not think of any.

Proposal 11–6

Yes of Course.

Proposal 11–7

Yes

Proposal 11–8

OK

Proposal 11–9

Yes

Proposal 11–10

Yes

Proposal 11–11

Yes

File