

03 C Norville

Full name: CHARLES HENRY NORVILLE

Question 1:

Yes. Submissions made by individuals to watchdog judiciaries such as HREOC and Fair Work Ombudsman and other peripherals should be available as they form part of the legal context and argument – good luck with getting any info out of the NSW Government. I consider that the greatest abuser of human rights is the instrument of Government itself and there would be no point to my submission if we create open loops in every jurisdiction going. If you need my permission to extract any files from the Federal and or State you hereby have it.

Question 2:

This would make sense, to make retirement remunerations consistent. What about doing the same for our illustrious pollies who have not had to risk body and limb and mind..... the principles of law are that we are treated equally and politicians are citizens no different but of course there is gratis in the system with the them and us principle applying.

Question 3:

To remove compulsory retirement especially if it was fraudulent – I will try to explain that the life preserver of a permanent pension is not what it seems especially with consideration to Q4 and also deals with peripherals of Workers Comp law within the NSW Government's convenient boundary of my enforced retirement under the *Superannuation Act 1916 (NSW)*. [3 sentences redacted to protect the privacy of the submitter.] I have been a 1st class machinist, did 10 months as a refrigeration apprentice 2007 before succumbing to age discrimination completed the TAFE refrigeration/ac without an employer now in the 3rd and final yr of the Electrician trade course, without an employer. The Federal Minister considers that Government should not tell employers who to hire (affirmative action) but of course the employers line up for employment subsidy..... This is the principle of chaos without an inside run to employment opportunity and no Government or Judicial instrument wants to do anything about it.

Question 4:

In relation to the above Q3 I would personally embrace the suspension of my pension. However, according to the legal argument be it legal fiction or whatever, I cannot be removed from the pension through fitness, in order to qualify for assistance under employment programs, which of course are only available to welfare recipients and employers and employment agency rorters such as group trainers (but that is made more contentious within my argument in HEROC and Fair Work Ombudsman. I argue however that the NSW Government can remove me, and with the regard to *compulsory employment* under S52 of the *Superannuation Act 1916* given that I have been restored under Division 3 of which S52 is a part of. If removed from the pension I contend I would have more favourable access to work, but it would remain to be seen that the Minister and my local parliamentary members who would otherwise doubt my bone fide attempts to employment would see at as some sort of stunt, ie They believe I could of at any time participated equally on merit. Merit is not a prerequisite for employment subsidised appointment

A serious point however is that other recipients of the state pension (which is means tested against the Federal pension) can lose that pension if the NSW Govt comes under fiscal strain similar to the Commonwealth when it raised the retirement to 67.

Question 5:

This depends on what the Government's priority is – really the wrong question and wrong argument entirely. Firstly the Government considers itself outside the economic process of the general economy, in fact it sells the people's assets to prop up bad destructive policy, and then issues the '*do as I say not do as I do philosophy*'. The Governments in all States and Federally in bipartisan fashion consider themselves outside the economy in a form of modern feudalism in which they become stand over tax collectors and then not having to become accountable as if they would be participating in the economy as others have to for survival.

The Government could quarantine the compulsory pension scheme within an efficient State Owned Corporation and then sell Government bonds certificates to the SOC for use within the economy but instead feels compelled to have capitalistic monopolising financiers squandering the people's assets so that they can go unheeded onto the Commonwealth pension – and then ask these policy dead end questions.

Government needs to participate in the economy so that it can drive its own wealth to reduce taxes and red tapping departments. Government assumes its survival priority at the possible loss of the working privateer middle class's right to a pension and medical care when the extreme wealthy who are nothing more than insiders avoid taxes, this would seem to be the global trend. Most of these insiders are, in truth, simply employees of corporations CEO's and the like who are immune to the economic chaos they produce - The Government is impotent to these forces because it strives to be a beggar of these corporations in its unseparated power of party politico structure for election funding – so that it can form these policy dead end committees to procure dead end questions.

Government takes away the pension to give it to those who have never participated in the economy ie those who are illegal immigrants. But then Government(s) with its (their) own weighty work force participate through a spurious production of red tapers to gain a healthy retirement pension paid for by those middle class privateers who under the means test will not be entitled to a Commonwealth pension because they are still participating in the economy. The Government thinks this is just and fair but really it is a dead end policy because the Government cannot survive as a non participant in the economy (productive), and itself will become means tested by the unavailability of slow generating wealth. It chooses to kill the goose that laid the golden egg and in so doing impales itself.

In conclusion if the Government participated in the economy it could actually afford to protect the Commonwealth with an adequately structured defence ADF. If it quarantined the compulsory pension scheme it could provide a superannuation pension payment to all participating Australians regardless of their wealth. Because those who are wealthy and participating and contributing would be entitled automatically to the asset which they help create. Apropos of this argument is the illogical flexible labour marketing where a large part of the community do not participate in the economy by Government and Corporate design. In short the National productivity is simply not there.

Question 6:

ANSWER 5

Question 7:

ANSWER 5

Question 8:

ANSWER 5

Question 9:

ANSWER 5

Question 10:

ANSWER 5

Question 11:

ANSWER 5

Question 12:

ANSWER 5

Question 13:

ANSWER 5

Question 14:

ANSWER 5 & 3

Question 15:

Look people better grab what they can before the spivs take all the super down the global rabbit hole

Question 16:

ANSWER 15

Question 17:

ANSWER 5 & 15

Question 18:

ANSWER 5 & 15

Question 19:

ANSWER 5

Question 20:

Apropos ANSWER 3 - I should not be forced to remain on retirement pension and have no access to subsidised employment programs. The apprenticeship training which is technical and I enjoy is actually subsidised regardless of my state super benefit. The Government is subsidising choice by employers to age discriminate. Government should have full employment policy, train its population, stop 457s, abide by S51(26) of the constitution and not have the UN, and the spivs determine our immigration policy.

Question 21:

ANSWER 5 & 20 should suffice

Question 22:

[1 sentence redacted.] Government and others suggest it's my fault that I cannot get viable work. It's all to do with Governments reducing their liability and not to pay people, and nothing to do with the constructive merit of Government decision. Government instead subsidises and bribes employers and agencies to employ people that are far less qualified than me. Eg with regard to apprenticeships I apply for. Government decided that it did not want to participate in the economy and to sell the people's assets and to still operate a large Government feudal system. Try full employment model. ANSWER 20

Question 23:

ANSWER 22

Question 24:

ANSWER 20 & 22

Question 25:

The Training Guarantee Act legislates employer responsibility to train, do the mines pay their share and prevent two speed sapping of interstate workforce? ANSWER 20 & 22

Question 26:

ANSWER 25

Question 27:

ANSWER 25

Question 28:

ANSWER 25

Question 29:

ANSWER 25

Question 30:

ANSWER 25

Question 31:

Remove middle class welfare for two income families and provide assistance to single mums to study

Question 32:

Model on ANSWER 31

Question 33:

ANSWER 31

Question 34:

ANSWER 31 and do not subsidise employment agencies at all, reconstitute CES central data base so employers can see employees and not be blocked by the employment agency industry

Question 35:

Yes. But the old CES system was a better flexible system where people that were actually employed could go to the CES and ask for a different job. Sometimes there are other issues such as proximity to work and having to use a motor car or rail. The idea of an economy is to liquid rather than regiment.

Question 36:

ANSWER 35

Question 37:

Government provide the subsidy to employers and agencies to discriminate through choice. Remove subsidies and constitute CES

Question 38:

Awards are good provision but try ANSWER 37 first

Question 39:

ANSWER 3 & 4

Question 40:

?.....*Get the mature aged to teach the young ones how to climb a ladder and drive carefully*
etc, be mentors in the employment of the younger

Question 41:

When the mature aged are teaching the youth.... this is ancient philosophy

Question 42:

Its a bit of a myth although mature aged are sometimes harassed for going too slow and this may cause an accident... education of society

Question 43:

ANSWER 41 & 42

Question 44:

Life skills assessment to make the person feel truly appreciated not patronised, even if there is extra training required.

Question 45:

Eg Rather than retire teachers use them to mentor less experienced teachers. This would work favourably in the trade areas

Question 46:

Government stop subsidising employers and their agencies to discriminate through choice rather than merit. ANSWER 37

Question 47:

Get rid of State jurisdiction where ever possible we only need two tiered Government of Federal and Regional

Question 48:

Ok but OH&S would require mature aged to be able to protect others around them as well and so mindful of being able to meet the inherent requirements of the position.

Question 49:

Not to use retirement as a means of contracting out of the Workers Comp jurisdiction... ie provide rehabilitation of all workers

Question 50:

Simply on the basis of meeting the fair inherent requirements of the duty position thereby requiring the employer to structure the position accordingly if such position is possible....
DO NOT GO DOWN THE SUBSIDY CON BY INDUSTRY

Question 51:

Yes ok but it would be up to the employer under OH&S to design job spec for inherent requirements of position

Question 52:

The Government become an insurer and participate rather than dictate

Question 53:

Don't be so trendy left wing forget this impoverished world of migrants and concentrate on employing Aus ANSWER 20.....

Question 54:

ANSWER 53 #%

Question 55:

ANSWER 53 #%

File:

chn_resume_may_2012.docx