

CI 975 L Jarman

First name: Lucas

Last name: Jarman

Q1:

Yes, definitely. Our system is clearly outdated, games are now mainstream entertainment and need a suitable classification system to match it.

Q2:

To correctly identify games that aren't suitable for people under the age of 18, and actually enforce it.

Q3:

No, content not suitable for minors is the same regardless of platform.

Q4:

No, classification should be done before the content hits our shores.

Q5:

Q6:

No.

Q7:

Q8:

Yes, it should all be the same.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

Q12:

Only so much you can do, minors under the age of 18 will always find a way to access unsuitable material but the responsibility lies with the parents.

Q13:

By the parents allowing them access to the internet.

Q14:

Again, the parents, but retailers also need to be more diligent.

Q15:

Wherever it is for sale.

Q16:

To classify the content, and make sure it is enforced where possible.

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

They're understood but they don't cover all types of content.

Q21:

Yes, there needs to be an R18+ category for games.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

None. Let people decide for themselves.

Q25:

Q26:

Yes, should be the same across all states and territories.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

Our system is ancient. We're the only country in the world that doesn't have an R18+ rating for games. Time for a change.