

CI 965 A Slight

First name: Aaron

Last name: Slight

Q1:

improving existing framework

Q2:

Q3:

Q4:

Yes, it would streamline the classification process.

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

Q8:

no, i dont think so

Q9:

no, that should have no bearing on the classification

Q10:

yes, i dont think that content viewed in private needs to be classified at all. For public viewing however, it stands to reason that content should b classified

Q11:

Q12:

taking the website offline is basically the only way to stop people accessing online content. Not that i'm saying that that should happen.

Q13:

Parents should always be aware of what their kids are doing on the internet. Other than that, i dont see it as a responsibility of the government or regulatory body to decide what should be controlled on the internet

Q14:

I believe the current framework works just fine.

Q15:

violent, explicit material

Q16:

Q17:

yes

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

i think they are generally all pretty well understood though the M and MA15+ categories can cause confusion as it isnt always certain what the difference between a mature audience and a mature adult are

Q21:

i think there needs to be better distinction between M and MA15+, and also video games definitely need a R18+

Q22:

Q23:

yes

Q24:

child exploitation material. nothing else!

Q25:

no, there is too much content RC by the AMCA.

Q26:

yes, all australians should be subject to the same classifications

Q27:

Q28:

yes, the states seem incompetent at best. If the Commonwealth were to introduce new legislation it would also mean that all of Australia would be subject to the same legislation

Q29:

Other comments: