

CI 905 T Cohen

First name: Tobias

Last name: Cohen

Q1:

New framework, much reduced in size and complexity from the old one.

Q2:

To avoid intruding into the lives of people that don't need help to decide what media they want to consume.

Q3:

Yes. New technologies based on internet distribution allow much more specialised content to be created by and for such small numbers of people that it is impractical to classify all of it.

Q4:

Yes, it's a waste of resources to classify something that nobody cares about.

Q5:

Yes. Most media has been shown to have minimal impact on viewers, so there's not much need to classify it.

Q6:

Yes. Many small content creators can't afford to participate in the classification process.

Q7:

No, artwork should not be subject to censorship.

Q8:

No, music and sound recordings should not be subject to censorship.

Q9:

Yes, if the audience are all adults, there is no need for classification.

Q10:

Yes, if the content is being viewed in a private home, there should be no need for classification.

Q11:

If the people viewing the content don't feel that they require classification to make up their minds, it should not need to be classified.

Q12:

There are no effective methods of prohibiting access to online content.

Q13:

Supervision by their parents.

Q14:

Collaboration with the print industry.

Q15:

Only when being displayed in public or sold at a commercial venue.

Q16:

Users should be the ultimate authority, with government and industry acting only in an advisory capacity.

Q17:

No, this would just make things even harder for smaller independent content producers.

Q18:

All forms of classification are mostly subjective, and are in no way obvious or straightforward.

Q19:

Yes. Any administrative requirement imposed by the government should be paid for by the government.

Q20:

No. M and MA are indistinguishable, and there's a category that only applies in the ACT and I can't even remember the name of it.

Q21:

Categories should simply be based on age group, for example All Ages, 10+ and 18+. Reviewing classification would not be required for 18+ material, as it is restricted to adults.

Q22:

The groups should be the same across all forms of content.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

Access to content should not be prohibited.

Q25:

No content should be prohibited.

Q26:

Yes, there should only be classification at a national level.

Q27:

National classification

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

Only classify content by completely objective criteria.

Other comments: