

CI 669 T Churchill

First name: Trevor

Last name: Churchill

Q1:

the ALRC should focus on developing a new framework for classification, for the R18+ rated games.

Q2:

To protect those that should have the rating assigned to the product.

Q3:

No it should not affect if the platformed used whether it be a gaming device or viewing device.

Q4:

it should not affect the classification if a complaint is formed due to that being to a matter of opinion.

Q5:

If it is designed for children it can be rated on all classifications, But if it is rated for above it does not tier down only up in rating.

Q6:

If the product is in demand it should be allowed classification and distribution.

Q7:

Yes, due to it may affect those that wish to view it.

Q8:

Yes, due to it may affect those that wish to view it.

Q9:

Yes

Q10:

No

Q11:

demand

Q12:

D.O.B acceptance protocols

Q13:

When people connect the internet at home they should ask if they have children under age and strongly suggest that they have a child blocker & password for their connection

Q14:

Passwords and the child blocker protocols

Q15:

when you put the address into the browser it should display at age warning page with a continue button or go back to homepage button

Q16:

to be a managing body not a restrictive body.

Q17:

Q18:

The content is R-rated games even if the difference is that instead of watching the content to playing the content. If one is allowed it means both is allowed not one is allowed and not the other.

Q19:

Q20:

R-rating this causes confusion because one R-rating is allowed and not the other.

Q21:

I believe that a new classification is needed for R-rated games (RG-rating).

Q22:

Q23:

Yes i believe consolidating them will fix the issue with the R-rated movies and games if ones allowed both are.

Q24:

none, this is choice by the individual opinion.

Q25:

No

Q26:

Public input

Q27:

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

Public input from all parties not bias parties.

Other comments: