

CI 487 S Reid

First name: shaun

Last name: reid

Q1:

I think if the framework was more general, it would be more successful. So develop

Q2:

To allow individuals access to content appropriate to their age group.

Q3:

I think that a general guide will allow for cross-platform classification. Setting criteria for interaction would definitely be important

Q4:

A basic classification would probably be important

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

Yes

Q8:

Yes

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

Interaction or input from audience

Q12:

The .xxx domain and filtering was a really good idea

Q13:

Optional parental filters

Q14:

Ratings should allow retailers to filter content

Q15:

When above the classic "PG" rating

Q16:

Government should enforce content filtering at consumer level. Industry should enforce consistent ratings. Users should filter available content for minors

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

The m / ma ratings were less than obvious. I'd recommend a all, 8,13,15,18 and 21 age beakers. 21 for severe adult content

Q21:

The m / ma ratings were less than obvious. I'd recommend a all, 8,13,15,18 and 21 age beakers. 21 for severe adult content

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Content that will cause harm (or that caused harm in its production) of others.

Q25:

Only Content that will cause harm (or that caused harm in its production) of others.

Q26:

Yes. The same across the country

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: