

CI 423 G Stille

First name: Greg

Last name: Stille

Q1:

New framework that brings our classification in line with the rest of the developed world

Q2:

Allow parents to make informed decisions about content for their children. Allow adults the freedom to choose more mature content.

Q3:

I don't believe so, no.

Q4:

No

Q5:

Yes

Q6:

No

Q7:

Yes

Q8:

Yes

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

Q12:

Optional filters provided by a third party or the ISP itself.

Q13:

Other than providing access to, or information about, filter software for parents this is not up to the government to enforce.

Q14:

Other than providing access to, or information about, filter software for parents this is not up to the government to enforce.

Q15:

A warning page upon entering a website is sufficient. Classification logo's on physical media.

Q16:

Government should provide classification guidelines with assistance from industry bodies so that users can make informed decisions about the content.

Q17:

I think there should be co-operation between industry and government to achieve suitable codes.

Q18:

Anything up to a 15+ rating

Q19:

Q20:

I believe they would be more clear if they were consistent across all media.

Q21:

There needs to be an added R and possibly an X rating for games, other than that the system in place currently seems to work well.

Q22:

Forming guidelines which apply across all media should not be difficult as I believe the level of involvement in the media is immaterial.

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

Child pornography, however I don't believe this is enforceable so money would be better spent on improving law enforcement agencies to tackle this issue.

Q25:

For the most part, yes. However there needs to be an accessible path to appeal the classification. Also there needs to be greater transparency about what and why it was RC in the first place.

Q26:

Yes classification laws should be Australia wide.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: