

CI 2473 D Henselin

Full name: David Henselin

Proposal 5-1:

Agreed.

Proposal 5-2:

I agree with this.

Proposal 5-3:

I agree with this, and no state or territory should be allowed to override or enact modifications to the National Classification Scheme.

Proposal 5-4:

How does the Regulator apply "The Classification of Media Content Act" to online content hosted in foreign jurisdictions?

Maybe the term "media content provider" should only be applicable to Australian located, owned and operated content providers.

Proposal 6-1 :

The term "hired,screened or distributed in Australia" needs defining in regards to online content from foreign countries.

Proposal 6-2:

The term "hired,screened or distributed in Australia" needs defining in regards to online games from foreign countries.

Proposal 6-3:

I agree with this.

Proposal 6-4:

ALRC should recommend to the Australian Government that x18+ be legal in all states and territories because

1. most of this content would not fall into the Refuse Classification class.
2. would allow better regulation and reduced costs in law enforcement.
3. the current system is unsustainable in the age of the internet.

Proposal 6-5:

I agree with this.

Proposal 6-6:

I agree with this.

Proposal 6-7:

I agree with this.

Proposal 6-8:

I agree with this.

Proposal 7-1:

I agree, but the Classification of Media Content Act could not be enforced for online content hosted in foreign jurisdictions and accessible to Australians.

Proposal 7-2:

I agree with this.

Question 7-1 :

X18+ content should be classified by the Classification Board.

Proposal 7-3:

I agree with this.

Proposal 7-4:

I agree with this.

Proposal 7-5:

I agree with this.

Question 7-2:

Classification training could be provided by both Government institutions eg.Tafe and the Private sector with actual testing and authorization performed by the Regulator.

Proposal 7-6:

I agree as this would make the Classification Board more efficient and cost effective.

Proposal 7-7:

I agree with this.

Proposal 8-1 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 8-2:

I agree with this.

Proposal 8-3:

I agree with this.

Proposal 8-4:

I agree with this.

Question 8-1:

Time-zone restrictions will become irrelevant in the near future as consumers increasingly access content from IPTV and VOD services from anywhere anytime online, so from this perspective, i can't see the point in time-zone restrictions.

Proposal 8-5:

I agree with this, except how would this proposal accommodate movies and television programs hosted overseas and available to Australians, for example "Video on Demand" services?

Proposal 8-6 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 9-1 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 9-2:

I agree with this.

Proposal 9-3:

I agree with this.

Proposal 9-4 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 9-5 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 10-1:

I agree with this, but i am concerned about scope creep in the future and the lack of a clear concise defination.

The ALRC needs to define clearly what content is "RC" and not left open to interpretations from Governments and Lobby Groups otherwise the new Media Content Act will be flawed once again.

Proposal 11-1:

I agree with this.

Proposal 11-2 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 11-3 :

I agree with this.

Proposal 11-4:

I agree with this.

Question 12-1:

Proposal 12-1 :

Proposal 13-1 :

Proposal 13-2:

Proposal 14-1 :

The National Classification Scheme needs to be consistent in both classification categories and criteria across all states and territories and for that reason the Commonwealth should take control.

Proposal 14-2 :

Proposal 14-3:

Proposal 14-4:

Proposal 14-5 :

Upload supporting documents: