

## **CI 2436 D Padilha**

First name:

Dan

Last name:

Padilha

Q1:

Improve the existing framework.

Q2:

To restrict access of classified material to minors whilst retaining the liberty provided by allowing access to all material to consenting adults, without causing unnecessary obstructions to adults wishing to obtain classified materials. That is, to provide consumer advice to adults and hinder distribution to minors so long as this does not hinder distribution to adults.

Q3:

Q4:

Yes, as reviewing all material for classification causes unnecessary delays in distribution of material to consenting adults. However, if the classification can be done without delaying distribution unnecessarily, then classification of more material is best.

Q5:

Q6:

It should play a role in the decision process of how the content should be classified, but not hinder the distribution of material from said particular content producers unnecessarily. For example, if Disney were to have highly restricted material distributed once, it should not be subjected to classification of all future material simply due to its mass market reach. However, its large mass market reach should be considered when choosing to classify its content.

Q7:

Q8:

Yes, given that they are not restricted from adults.

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

Q13:

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Q25:

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: