

CI 2272 J Marshall

First name: James

Last name: Marshall

Q1:

Improving key elements of the existing framework

Q2:

Advising adults of content suitability for minors.

Q3:

Platforms with a controlled distribution and access, such as films, TV, and books, should be classified in order to advise adults, for uncontrolled and uncontrollable platforms such as the internet a voluntary classification system may work, but effort should not be wasted searching for, labelling, or censoring elements that do not attempt to do so.

Q4:

Yes

Q5:

yes to the first part, the second is likely impossible

Q6:

no

Q7:

to provide advice certainly, to restrict access certainly not.

Q8:

yes

Q9:

size no, composition yes

Q10:

yes if restrictive classifications, no if advisory.

Q11:

Q12:

Q13:

Parental monitoring

Q14:

age restrictions with ID

Q15:

Q16:

Advisory only

Q17:

Is this not the current arrangement? It should be.

Q18:

All.

Q19:

In special circumstances where the producer can demonstrate that the cost of classification is highly restrictive.

Q20:

I think they are well understood.

Q21:

An 18+ or adult category for games should be introduced, as many game players are now well over this age.

Q22:

Standard guidelines

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

Content made illegally or at great harm to those who participated in its creation (eg child pornography), or whose distribution may allow others to cause harm (eg. terrorist handbooks)

Q25:

Not familiar enough to comment.

Q26:

Yes.

Q27:

Q28:

yes

Q29:

By recognising that the internet both can not and should not be heavily policed, but that a trusted system of advisory and voluntary classifications will allow responsible adults to judge which content to access, and allow our children to access, without negatively impacting the general public's freedoms or pushing individuals to break laws seen as overly restrictive.

Other comments: