

CI 2165 S Pelentsov

First name:

Simon

Last name:

Pelentsov

Q1:

Improving key elements. The only thing that REALLY needs to be added is an 18+ system on top of the already in place system, there should still be a MA15+ system in place. But I think certain MA15+ games are underrated and should really be rated as 18+ so things may have to be stricter for video games being rated MA15+.

Q2:

The main objective should be addressing the video game rating issue, so that adults aren't denied access to games that were refused classification because there is no 18+ system in place for video games. Why should the video game rating system be different from movies?

Q3:

Well since video games are interactive by nature, video games should be classified differently compared to movies. Video games can have different effect on adults and children.

Q4:

Only if there have been a large number of complaints.

Q5:

I think most content designed for children is harmless.

Q6:

Yes, there have been quite a few popular games and well received games that have been banned recently, like Mortal Kombat (2011).

Q7:

In general, No. Maybe art that has nudity should be classified, but only if there is a large amount of complaints.

Q8:

I think certain books and CD's need to be 18+.

Q9:

Yes, certain video games, like Call of Duty, have a massive audience worldwide.

Q10:

No

Q11:

Q12:

You shouldn't control or censor access of internet content, it should be up to the person to decide what content is suitable for themselves or their families.

Q13:

There are already programs and things you can do to prevent that. It should be up to the parent on how they go about protecting their children.

Q14:

Q15:

Generally in public places, stores, websites or on advertisements.

Q16:

It should be a it a voluntary industry scheme, with the government only stepping in when complaints are made (to either uphold or regrade the classification).

Q17:

Video games, they absolutely need need to be revised.

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

I think everything is mostly understood.

Q21:

Nothing should be removed or merged, video games just need an 18+ system to bring it inline with every other form of media.

Q22:

Everything should be equal and consistent, refer to my statement on Q21.

Q23:

Q24:

Nothing, it's up to the viewer to make up their decision on what is appropriate to view or not.

Q25:

No

Q26:

Laws should be the same for every state, and consistent.

Q27:

We need an R18+ system for video games in place, no exceptions. There are alot of video games that are being classified as MA15+ that really should be for adults only. And some being outright refused classification because they don't fall under the MA15+ criteria. The current system is letting people under 18 access content at an earlier age then they really should.

Q28:

Q29:

Refused classification video games and other media should be re-classified if the classification system is to be revised and implemented.

Other comments: