

CI 2158 E Walker

First name:

Elaine

Last name:

Walker

Q1:

Q2:

To provide guidelines for the suitability of material for various age groups.

Q3:

Due to the globalizing nature of the internet, it is beyond the scope, or means of any purely Australian based classification board to attempt to classify everything that Australians have access to.

Q4:

That seems reasonable. Though I'd require more than one complaint. Preferably non-hysterical.

Q5:

I don't see how that is possible.

Q6:

Q7:

Providing consumer advice possibly. Restricting access no. And only if profit is being made out of it.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

If the material is extreme in areas considered inappropriate for children, then ideally it should be rated as adults only. And available to adults only for purchase or access. I do not support the banning of material. (This is mostly with movies and video games... material this extreme online is unlikely to be accessible without a credit card that a child should not have)

Q12:

I do not support restricting access to online content by censorship at a governmental level. Most sites which might contain material that could be considered restrictable are already limited to people over 18. Or at least those who own a credit card.

Q13:

Parents paying attention to their own children. Local filtering by parents. Treating us all like children is not the answer.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Maybe

Q18:

Q19:

If the government requires the classification and the people creating the content are making or likely to make a small or negligible profit. Or no profit at all.

Q20:

Q21:

There should be R ratings or similar for adult only material.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Child pornography is already illegal and therefore if found online would presumably be prosecuted and so forth. Beyond that, I don't see what benefit censorship has.

Q25:

I do not believe so.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: