

CI 2135 K Wells

First name:

Kieran

Last name:

Wells

Q1:

Improving key elements, keeping the standard system but introducing an 18+ category.

Q2:

As above, to give adults the choice they should have had all along.

Q3:

No.

Q4:

Yes, bare in mind that anyone can and will complain about anything.

Q5:

Yes.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

Yes.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

A person's age.

Q12:

Access to online content should not be controlled.

Q13:

Education for children and parents.

Q14:

The controls we have in place now a sufficient.

Q15:

At all times.

Q16:

To make sure children cannot gain access to material they are not supposed to, while allowing adults the choice to have access to the content.

Q17:

The industry should be solely responsible for classification with an independent impartial body regulating it.

Q18:

Games. Follow trends set forth by the US; their rating system is far superior to ours.

Q19:

Yes, even go as far to offer grants for independent studios.

Q20:

RC material causes the biggest confusion.

Q21:

18+ Categories need to be implemented across the board. MA15+ could be merged into this category, thus 'protecting' the children more for the sake of lobbyists such as the ACL.

Q22:

A clear set of guidelines.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

Nothing should be prohibited (in the censorship sense) online, only made illegal. Censorship is not the answer.

Q25:

Somewhat, refer to the answer above.

Q26:

No.

Q27:

It shouldn't be.

Q28:

No.

Q29:

Focus on the US system, their system works and should be the model we base ours on.

Other comments: