

CI 1867 M Da Anunciacao

First name: Marcel

Last name: Da Anunciacao

Q1:

Improving on the key elements of the existing frameworks should be focused on, with the addition of a extra classification on video game material (R18+).

Q2:

The primary objective of a national classification scheme should be to protect the people that require protecting (minors) from adult content, but also provide enough of a freedom to an consenting adult to view what they would like to view within morale grounds.

Q3:

Yes, the method of access to the content can greatly affect the nature of the content. Interactive media should therefore be classified slightly higher depending on the delivery method as well as the affect on the individual. Although all content regardless of technology or platform should have universal classifications

Q4:

This would work in an ideal world, but we do not live in an ideal world. Content should be classified if it has been the subject of complaints. There is far too much content out there, and thus slow down the classification process if EVERYTHING was to be classified.

Q5:

Yes. Yes.

Q6:

Yes

Q7:

Ideally yes.

Q8:

Yes, but due to the nature of delivery method, this would have a lower standing effect on the intended target audience, therefore would be slightly less restrictive.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

The general nature of the content and it's target audience would be the major factors on whether the content should be classified. Content such as educational material, which could be graphic should not be classified, but should have a general warning that it has graphic nature in the content.

Q12:

There is no effective method of controlling access to online content. Due to the nature of how the Internet works, most of the methods available to us now is either A) extremely taxing on performance

on our already slow infrastructure to external sources, B) easily avoidable, C) breach of our right to freely access knowledge.

An optional filter would be more effective to people that would LIKE to be restricted under the NCS. Target audiences such as families would benefit under this regime and would keep them happy, but also allow people that would like to access this "restricted" content free to do so if they please.

Q13:

See above.

Q14:

Unfortunately there is no sure fire method to this. Any minor could coax an adult into buying the content for them and thus allowing them access to this material. The question is though, why should sexually explicit media be restricted to minors. If better methods of educating minors about sexuality are practiced, and their curiosity is actually satiated, then they more than likely WILL NOT want to access this material, and if they do, then they should be free to do so, within reason.

Q15:

At all times.

Q16:

To ensure that content that is required classification gets the correct classifications, but also to NOT deny consenting adults the rights to freely access any media they should choose within morale reasoning.

Q17:

This could be effective. If the industry does preliminary classification on media, and the government does final checks on this could work quite well.

Q18:

G, PG, Educational material.

Q19:

Yes.

Q20:

Yes, no confusion.

Q21:

Yes, there should be an extra R18+ across all media regardless. This adds extra granularity for all media particularly video games where developers remove just slight actions or materials within the game to shoe horn it into particular classification categories, such as MA15+. With the addition or R18+ these methods protect our children from getting these titles that SHOULD actually be moved to a R18+ category and therefore will also inform a parent that really that MA15+ game that they have just bought for their child, is not actually appropriate for them.

Classic example would be Grand Theft Auto series. This is a classic case that does have sexual content, drug use, violence, and the sort and would therefore be classified R18+ anywhere else in the world. Unfortunately it is being shoe horned into MA15+, but removing some material but the general nature and idea of the game is still being kept, and is being sold to minors.

Q22:

Classification criteria and guidelines should be the same across all media.

Q23:

Yes, Classification criteria and guidelines should be the same across all media.

Q24:

Internet should be free to roam whatever the user would like to do. As long as the content they are access is not causing harm, then it should not be prohibited at all.

Q25:

I believe that no media should be Refused Classification, unless it has morale/social damaging effects.

Q26:

Yes. not sure, i'm not a marketing major ;)

Q27:

The classification scheme should be the same throughout all states and territories. This will ensure consistency throughout all states/territories.

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: