

CI 1570 J Symon

First name: Joshua

Last name: Symon

Q1:

A new framework for classification would be preferred. Media has undergone major changes which follow suit with what is now considered socially acceptable. Classifications need to reflect these changes.

Q2:

Reflecting the majority opinion of what is acceptable and what is not depending on the audiences targeted.

Q3:

No.

Q4:

No. Complaints are made by every dick and harry for just about every reason under the sun. Most frequent complaints are made by people within the "bible belt" who are a minority.

Q5:

Yes and no. I do not believe that it is up to state regulators to decide whether or not something is appropriate for the greater population. This sort of thinking leads to white washing and unnecessary secrecy regarding what should be public knowledge. I do agree however that content designed for children should be classified across all media.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

For the purposes of providing consumer advice? Yes.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

Yes.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Q12:

Education and free information. Regulation, or restriction, of content I believe is overstepping the reach of government bodies. It is not up to state institutional to tell the public it is accountable to what it can and cannot view.

Q13:

Better education and public information. Inappropriate content is arbitrarily dictated by individual families. No one family is the same as another. Such things are not for third parties to dictate. It is better to provide the tools to those individual families, rather than burden the greater whole.

Q14:

This is not for the government to decide. Education and Information. It is up to the families and their community to remain informed, and educate their children.

Q15:

Classifications should be present at all times. Not for the purpose of restriction, but for the purpose of consumer advisory. If I pick up a magazine, dvd or video game, I would like to see on its cover what its appropriate audience is, and what kind of content I will find within.

Q16:

Simply put; to provide resources to consumers to adequately decide for themselves what content is appropriate for them.

Q17:

I would imagine so.

Q18:

Sexual content, adult themes/humor/situations, drug use, violence, graphic imagery of any kind.

Q19:

Q20:

I believe that they are widely and adequately understood. As would a new system of classification. The key factors to an understandable classification system are simplicity and descriptiveness.

Q21:

Yes. Classifications that reflect specifically adult content (in the same way that adult films are classified) I feel are needed.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Prohibition is a pointless endeavour, and in the process of trying to prohibit content (child pornography for example), mistakes are made across the board. Most people do not have access to this content as it is. Most people who want to view content that might be considered worthy of prohibition will find it regardless. It is better to invest time into catching "predators" and so on than it is to punish and control the greater whole.

Q25:

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: