

CI 1542 A Cosgriff

First name: Ash

Last name: Cosgriff

Q1:

Improve the current classification for video games. There is room for a 18+ classification, many other countries around the world have one - So why would Australia be stuck with a 15 classification?

As for a new framework. Internet filtering is ridiculous. It should be a free world to view whatever content one would like without restriction.

Q2:

To classify games, magazines and so on. How can you classify the internet, it's a user choice system. If people CHOOSE to go to a website, and then complain about it, that is quite a pointless was to go about it.

Q3:

Video game consoles should be classified, all games should be and that is reasonable.

However if accessed via the internet - there should be NO content classification enacted at all.

Q4:

Yes. There is a lot of content out there that classifying is equal to wasted time, money and effort.

Q5:

Yes a Childrens classification i agree with. Anything adult/mature age however should not be touched at all and left for the individual.

A Child filter will help parents so there is support there,

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

No. Content is content, it should be free to view by all.

Q10:

No it should not make a difference. It is upto the public location to enact filters if they believe they need it. A home user is at their own disgression and should NOT be classified at all. It is a USER choice, not a Government stranglehold

Q11:

Only Child content should be classified for the simple purpose of safe browng for Children. There should be NO classification on any content above an age of perhaps 14.

Q12:

Q13:

If it is Gov't managed then websites need to garner approval for their content, once approved then it can go through a web portal that parents or ISP can help lock down.

Q14:

It shouldn't be controlled. It's people freedom to view whatever they would like in their own time and freedom. It's an infringement of rights to remove, classify or restrict.

Q15:

Only in relation to Childrens websites. Everything else should be free under the freedom of users.

Q16:

Users regulate what they view. They are in control of the computer/console. Government or Industry Bodies should not be deciding what is best for the common man. There is already too much censorship in the world as it is, there are laws upon laws upon laws. It's becoming a world where choice is no longer free.

Q17:

Q18:

No content should be classified except for Childrens

Q19:

Never. The Government should not be getting involved with content. It's peoples free choice.

Q20:

Q21:

18+ for video game classification is required, and has been required for 10 years now.

Q22:

Make the label clear, simple and concise. The current format works quite well, no need to change it.

Q23:

Q24:

NO content should be restricted. NONE. I cannot believe this is even a question. It is peoples CHOICE to view what THEY want to view.

Q25:

No it does not. Just because something is refused, shouldnt disallow it to be viewed. Thats just an example of poor Government classification schemes.

Q26:

Yes. It should be uniform across Australia. One rule, for the entire country.

Q27:

It should be mandatory classification agreement for Video Games only.

Q28:

Yes. Although not a labour Government - and NOT Senator Conroy who has NO idea how the internet works in a basic sense. Someone that is too far out of touch with the world, community and how something works should never be responsible for it

Q29:

Other comments: