

CI 1068 R Webb

First name: Rubin

Last name: Webb

Q1:

Improving existing framework

Q2:

Freedom of speech and expression

Q3:

No

Q4:

No

Q5:

Potential impact should be taken into account though the manner in which what is 'impacting' is decided is questionable. Childrens' content should be classified.

Q6:

No

Q7:

Yes

Q8:

No

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

Q12:

Access to online content should be free to all consenting adults. It should be the responsibility of parents and guardians to place their own restrictive measures on content viewable by minors. Almost all systems that access online content have built-in controllable parental locks.

Q13:

Conscientious parenting.

Q14:

Fines for retailers caught selling content to minors.

Q15:

When there are classifications, warnings or consumer advice to be display.

Q16:

Government - Classification and enforcement of regulation on industry bodies. Industry bodies - enforcement of regulation on consumers.

Q17:

Perhaps, though I think such a system would be prone to bias.

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

They are well understood, just perhaps not paid attention to.

Q21:

There needs to be an R18+ rating for video games. The fact that games are refused classification and sale in Australia to consenting adults if they are above and MA15 level is ridiculous.

Q22:

Make the classification system universal.

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

The government should not be involved in regulating peoples access to online content but rather should be focused on removing and prosecuting those who publish illegal content.

Q25:

No. It is too broad/

Q26:

Yes.

Q27:

Q28:

Yes

Q29:

Other comments: