

CI 87 A Pitt

First name: Ann

Last name: Pitt

Q1: Improve elements of the existing framework.

Q2: I believe it is important that consumers & especially parents have accurate information about the material which is classified. It is important that any classification reflects the standards of the community. It is vital that material should not be distributed which is harmful or violates the standards of the community. Children should be protected from any material which is unsuitable.

Q3:

Q4: No...all material should be subject to classification

Q5: Yes, the potential impact needs to be considered & yes, content designed for children should be classified across all media.

Q6: No...regardless of those factors

Q7: Any material regardless of if it is considered artistic or not, should be refused classification if it depicts children in a sexualised manner, or is exploitative or offensive.

Q8: Yes. Much music has lyrics which demean women, also much of the language in some music is very offensive.

Q9: No.

Q10: No.

Q11:

Q12: I believe that there should be mandatory filtering of all material at the ISP level. This would exclude practically all the really bad stuff now available. Opt-in access COULD be provided if the age verification is demonstrated as genuine.

Q13: Same as above...filtering should be mandatory at ISP level, plus explicit material just should not be available on line.

Q14: Children should not be allowed to enter certain areas , preferably enclosed & with restricted access, where these kind of publications are sold.

Q15: All the time.

Q16: Each of these sections should ensure that inappropriate material is classified & not available to children or young people.

Q17:

Q18: All content should be classified according to the obvious facts.

Q19: Yes...all material, even produced by small independent companies, should be subject to classification.

Q20: Many people do not adequately understand the classification guidelines. Clear explanation of content needs to be displayed.

Q21: The current classifications should remain but X18+ should be abolished. Films containing explicit sex should be classified as hard porn & Refused Classification. No R18+ classification should be available for computer games, Such games tend to lead to rape & child molestation.

Q22:

Q23: Yes.

Q24:

IN my opinion much of the material currently available should not be, BUT, definitely NEVER material with RC classification, X18+, or R18+.

Opt-in access could be provided (but preferably not) to R18+, as long as the age guidelines are strictly verified.

Q25: No!

Q26: Yes, all States & Territories should come under the same ruling which should be enacted FEDERALLY!!

Q27: As above!!

Q28: YES!!!!!!

Q29: By improving & raising the standards in the home, school, workplace etc. Common courtesy, good manners & CLEAN language. If the kind of offensive behaviour & language we currently see so prevalent was changed there would be far less need for such classification boards!

Other comments: